STUDENT LEARNING ASSESSMENT PROGRAM SUMMARY FORM AY 2020-21

Degree and Program Name

Master of Arts in Music (Performance, Composition, Conducting)

Submitted By:

Jamie V. Ryan, on-campus program coordinator

Please complete a separate worksheet for each academic program (major, minor) at each level (undergraduate, graduate) in your department.

Please use size 10 font or larger.

PART ONE

What are the learning objectives?	How, where, and when are they assessed?	What are the expectations?	What are the results?	Committee/ person responsible? How are results shared?
1. Apply accepted research practices to the study of Music.	1.Graduate recital program notes, oral comprehensive exams evaluated by graduate faculty on graduate examination committees.	 1. Students must achieve a <i>Superior</i> on our evaluation rubric– average 12/12 points–in order to receive Superior ranking; Students receive <i>Good</i> or better on our evaluation rubric– with min. 9/12– in order to receive a Good ranking. Rubrics for comprehensive Oral Exam evaluations indicate that a student receives Pass (100%), Conditional Pass, or Not 	1. AY 20-21 – Four students submitted Recital Program Notes; Four students held Oral Examinations (by Zoom). All students turned in Recital Program Notes. Rubrics for graduate Recital Program Notes indicated students achieved a majority of Superior rankings, with all students achieving Superior or Good rankings on all areas. This is well within acceptable achievement levels in our evaluation system.	The graduate examining committee formed for each student performs the evaluations and reports results to the Graduate Coordinator. The Graduate Coordinator and Graduate Committee are responsible for making any consequent modifications to the assessment process and for formulating any consequent

		Pass; Oral Examinations must be approved unanimously by the Graduate examination committee, and were approved.	AY 20-21 Oral comprehensive examinations: All four candidates achieved Pass on the Oral Exam. All expectations were eventually met.	curricular modifications and presenting them to the faculty. Assessment report is posted on the department of music faculty website.
	2. Exit surveys conducted upon completion of the program, into Fall 2021.	2. Awaiting exit survey results. Exit survey questions are expected to give the Graduate Coordinator feedback to share with faculty for purposes of improving the program.	2. Awaiting exit survey results.	
2. Demonstrate a scholarly approach to the study of music history, literature, and analysis.	1. Graduate recital program notes (Performance, conducting and composition concentrations only), oral comprehensive exams evaluated by graduate faculty on graduate examination committees (Performance, conducting, composition concentrations only);	1.Rubrics for graduate Recital Program Notes with the percentage of students expected to be at each level in parentheses: Superior (50%), Good (50%), Developing (0%), Not Acceptable (0%); [Students must achieve a <i>Superior</i> on our	 AY 20-21 Four students submitted Recital Program Notes; Four students held Oral Examinations. AY 20-21 Four students received the minimum 9/12 or higher on their Program Notes. This meets the graduate 	The graduate examining committee formed for each student performs the evaluations and reports results to the Graduate Coordinator. Graduate Coordinator compiles results and distributes to Graduate Faculty.

	evaluation rubric– average 12/12 points–in order to receive Superior ranking; Students receive <i>Good</i> or better on our evaluation rubric– with min. 9/12– in order to receive a Good ranking. Rubrics for comprehensive Oral Exam evaluations indicate that a student receives Pass (100%), Conditional Pass, or Not Pass; Oral Examinations must be approved unanimously by the Graduate examination committee;	 program's expectations. AY 20-21 Oral comprehensive examinations: Four out of four students achieved Pass or Conditional Pass on the Oral Exam. 2. Awaiting exit survey results. 	The Graduate Coordinator and Graduate Committee are responsible for making any consequent modifications to the assessment process and for formulating any consequent curricular modifications and presenting them to the faculty. Assessment report is posted on the department of music faculty website.
2. Exit surveys conducted upon completion of the program, into Fall 2021.	2. Awaiting exit survey results.		

3. Demonstrate a level	1. Graduate Performance	1. Performance	1. AY 20-21	Appropriate applied
of competency as a	Project (for all degree	assessment forms use the	a. Performances and	faculty evaluate juries
performer, conductor,	concentrations):	following levels, with	recitals by graduate	and recital previews,
or composer	a.	the percentage of	students in the program	and the graduate
appropriate for	Vocal/Instrumental/Keyboard	students expected to be	consistently rank at	examining committee
developing a career or	Performance Concentrations:	at each level in	Professional level and	formed for each
further graduate study	Recital performances and	parentheses:	Highly Competent level.	student performs
(performance,	Graduate Recital with	Professional level	21/24 and above is	academic evaluations.
composition,	program notes, semester and	(50%), Highly	considered Professional	The Graduate
conducting	jury performances are	Competent (50%),	level. $17/24$ and above is	Coordinator evaluates
concentrations only)	assessed using performance	Competent (0%),	considered Highly	the data and reports to
	assessment forms that	Unacceptable (0%).	Competent. 15/24 and	the Chair and the
	include basic, universal	The universal criteria	above is considered	Graduate Committee
	criteria used to evaluate all	used to evaluate	competent. Data from	(GC). The Graduate
	performances as well as	performances are all the	Departmental	Coordinator and
	instrument-specific criteria.	same for musicians. The	Performance Assessment	Graduate Committee
	The Graduate Performance	expectations for	tool, used for each recital	are responsible for
	Project requires a pre-	graduate performances	preview, provides this	making any
	performance preview jury.	in all areas are higher	information.	consequent
		than for undergraduates.		modifications to the
		_		assessment process
	b. Conducting majors do not	b. Conducting DVDs are	b. N/A	and for formulating
	give a recital as such, but	assessed as part of the		any consequent
	prepare a compilation DVD	Capstone project		curricular
	for the Capstone Project			modifications and
				presenting them to the
	c. Composition majors are	c. Assessment of a	c. In AY 20-21	faculty.
	not required to have a recital	recital is not made since	composition graduate	Assessment report is
	of composed works but may	this is not a requirement.	student choose to	posted on the
	do so if they choose.		assemble a virtual recital.	department of music
				faculty website.
	d. Graduate recital program	d. Rubrics for graduate	d AY 20-21 – Four	
	notes (Performance,	Recital Program Notes	students submitted Recital	
	conducting and composition	with the percentage of	Program Notes; Four	

concentrations), Oral comprehensive exams evaluated by graduate faculty on graduate examination committees (Performance, conducting, composition concentrations only); for performance students presenting a recital or lecture-recital for the Graduate Performance Project, the Graduate	students expected to be at each level in parentheses: Superior (50%), Good (50%), Developing (0%), Not Acceptable (0%); [Students must achieve a <i>Superior</i> on our evaluation rubric– average 12/12 points–in order to receive Superior ranking; Students receive <i>Good</i> or better on our evaluation rubric– with min. 9/12– in order to receive a Good ranking. Rubrics for comprehensive Oral Exam evaluations indicate that a student receives Pass (100%), Conditional Pass, or Not Pass; Oral Examinations must be approved unanimously by the Graduate examination committee;	students held Oral Examinations. Students achieved Superior or Good ratings on all program notes. AY 20-21 Oral comprehensive examinations: Four out of four students achieved Pass on the Oral Exam.	
2. Exit surveys conducted upon completion of the program, into Fall 2021.	2. Awaiting exit survey results.	2. Exit surveys: Awaiting survey results.	

	I	I		
4. Demonstrate critical thinking and problem solving (CGS Learning Objective).	1. Graduate recital program notes oral comprehensive exams evaluated by graduate faculty on graduate examination committees.	1. Rubrics for graduate Recital Program Notes with the percentage of students expected to be at each level in parentheses: Superior (50%), Good (50%), Developing (0%), Not Acceptable (0%); [Students must achieve a <i>Superior</i> on our evaluation rubric– average 12/12 points–in order to receive Superior ranking; Students receive <i>Good</i> or better on our evaluation rubric– with min. 9/12– in order to receive a Good ranking.	1. AY 20-21 – Four students submitted Recital Program Notes; Four students held Oral Examinations. Students achieved Superior or Good ratings on all program notes.	The graduate examining committee formed for each student performs the evaluations. The Graduate Coordinator evaluates the data and reports to the Chair and the Graduate Committee (GC). The Graduate Coordinator and Graduate Committee are responsible for making any consequent modifications to the assessment process and for formulating any consequent
	2. Results of oral comprehensive exams evaluated by graduate faculty on graduate examination committee.	2. Rubrics for comprehensive Oral Exam evaluations indicate that a student receives Pass (100%), Conditional Pass, or Not Pass; Oral Examinations must be approved unanimously by the Graduate examination	2. AY 20-21 Oral comprehensive examinations: Four out of four students achieved Pass Oral Exam.	curricular modifications and presenting them to the faculty. Assessment report is posted on the department of music faculty website.

Т

Т

Г

	committee;		
3. Exit surveys conducted upon completion of the program into Fall 2021.	3. Awaiting exit survey results.	3. Awaiting exit survey results.	

5. Demonstrate effective writing skills including grammar, syntax, organization, and depth of content (Graduate School standards).	1. Graduate recital program notes, oral comprehensive exams evaluated by graduate faculty on graduate examination committees.	 Rubrics for graduate Recital Program Notes with the percentage of students expected to be at each level in parentheses: Superior (50%), Good (50%), Developing (0%), Not Acceptable (0%); [Students must achieve a <i>Superior</i> on our evaluation rubric– average 12/12 points–in order to receive Superior ranking; Students receive <i>Good</i> or better on our evaluation rubric– with min. 9/12– in order to receive a Good ranking. Rubrics for comprehensive Oral Exam evaluations indicate that a student receives Pass (100%), Conditional Pass, or Not Pass; Oral Examinations must be approved unanimously by the Graduate examination committee; 	 AY 20-21 Four students submitted Recital Program Notes. Four students held Oral Examinations. Students achieved Superior or Good ratings on all program notes. AY 20-21 Oral comprehensive examinations: Four out of four students achieved Pass or Conditional Pass on the Oral Exam 	The graduate examining committee formed for each student performs the evaluations. The Graduate Coordinator evaluates the data and reports to the Chair and the Graduate Committee (GC). The Graduate Coordinator and Graduate Committee are responsible for making any consequent modifications to the assessment process and for formulating any consequent curricular modifications and presenting them to the faculty. Assessment report is posted on the department of music faculty website.
--	---	--	--	---

3. Exit surve upon comple program, into	rvey 3. Awaiting survey results.	

PART TWO

Describe your program's assessment accomplishments since your last report was submitted. Discuss ways in which you have responded to the CASA Director's comments on last year's report or simply describe what assessment work was initiated, continued, or completed.

Performance, conducting, and composition concentrations: We are continuing to use the Performance Assessment Tool for Graduate level juries and recitals in AY 21-22 and are continuing to receive useful data from this tool.

Like the Music Education concentration, we plan to develop measurements to assess the additional graduate learning goals not already addressed for performance, conducting, and composition students: speaking and listening, quantitative reasoning, and responsible citizenship. We also plan to institute some general expectations on the exit surveys for the next round of graduating students (Spring 2022: four students). As graduate coordinator, I will schedule the exit survey for the days following the oral examination, which is usually the last step for a music performance degree candidate.

PART THREE

Summarize changes and improvements in **curriculum, instruction, and learning** that have resulted from the implementation of your assessment program. How have you used the data? What have you learned? In light of what you have learned through your assessment efforts this year and in past years, what are your plans for the future?

1. Performance, conducting, and composition concentrations: MA students in the performance, composition, and conducting concentrations are now graduating under the new 32 credit hour program. In the spring of 2021, two students graduated in addition to two others who graduated in the fall of 2020. We are still awaiting results of the exit surveys.

We hope that when those exit surveys are returned that we can confirm that the students appreciate the emphasis on performance in the program. After another year under the new model we will be in a better position to evaluate the recent changes in our program.

Further, the graduate committee is interested in the students' experience in the academic portion of the degree, especially the music theory and history seminars. We may adjust the curriculum in the future to better serve students' needs.