Student Learning Assessment Program AY 2020-2021

M.S. in College Student Affairs - HECC





Learning Goals for the M.S. in College Student Affairs

What are the learning objectives?	How, where, and when are they assessed?	What are the expectations?	What are the results?	Committee/ person responsible? How are results shared?
1. Candidates will display the required academic preparation and professional dispositions necessary to succeed in the graduate program in Counseling and Higher Education.	How: Admission Rating Sheet Where: Department of Counseling and Higher Education When: During Admission Process	1. Top Scores in GPA, Experience, References, Writing Sample, and Group Interview rubric	Spring 2021 Admissions: (beginning admissions Summer or Fall 2021) College Student Affairs 30 Applied 19 Interviewed 9 Accepted for Admission CSA - Demographics of Admitted Students (10 day Report) Total Students 22 Female – 15; Male – 07; Full Time White 13 Black 03 Hispanic 02 Other 04 HECC (Online) - Demographics of Admitted Students (10 day Report) Total Students 18 Female – 15; Male – 03; White 13 Asian 01 Hispanic 03 Other 01	CSA Admission Committee will compute composite scores and select the top 20 scores for admission.

2. Candidates	How:	Maintain 3.0	Overall GPA: No student was asked to leave due to	o low GPA	
will display	GPA; Course	GPA;	Overan GIA. No student was asked to leave due to	Jiow GI A	
evidence of a	Assessment	Of A,	Survey of Course Objectives:		
depth of	Rubrics;		Survey of Course Objectives.		
content	Rubiics,	80%	CHE 5505: Research Methods in CSA		
knowledge.	Where:	agreement on	Knowledge of methodology -	75% agree	
kilowieuge.	5505, 5506,	all objectives	Ability to Critique Research –	88% agree	
	5710, 5715,	an objectives	Applied data to System wide assessment –	89% agree	
	5710, 5713, 5720, 5725,		Overall Objectives were met –	80% agree	
	5720, 5725, 5730 5735,		Overan Objectives were flet –	80% agree	
	5741, 5750,		CHE 5506: Research in CSA II (Did not survey)		
	5760, 5880		CHE 3300. Research in CSA II (Did not survey)		
	3700, 3000		CHE 5710: Leader and Admin in High Ed		
			Knowledge of leadership strategies –	100% agree	
			Fundamentals of Teamwork –	100% agree	
			Applied relevant constructs of leadership –	100% agree	
			Overall Objectives were met –	100% agree	
			overan objectives were met	10070 agree	
			CHE 5715: Ind and Group Intervention		
			Understand and Apply Skills -	100% agree	
			Analyze an Apply cultural interventions –	100% agree	
			Planning an Apply interventions –	100% agree	
			Self –Care –	100% agree	
			CHE 5720: Student Dev Theory I		
			Knowledge of personal theory -	100% agree	
			Ability to evaluate theory –	100% agree	
			Ability to stay current in student dev.	80% agree	
			Overall the objectives were met –	94% agree	
			CHE 5725: Student Dev Theory II (Did not surve	ey)	
			Knowledge of theories and models -		
			Aware of how culture impact development –		
			Recognize one's own devel. journey –		
			Overall the objectives were met –		

CHE 5730: History & Phil. Of Higher Ed. (Did not survey)
CHE 5735: Multi Comp and SJ in CSA Demonstrate culturally inclusive listening skills - 88% agree Aware of diverse life experiences within H.E 78% agree Analyze social systems and their impact in H.E 70% agree Understand SJ in H.E 100% agree CHE 5741: Collegiate Environments (Did not survey) Knowledge of college environments/impact - Impact of C.E. on diverse populations - Know how to improve student experiences -
Overall the objectives were met –
CHE 5750: Gov and Fin in High Ed (Did not survey) Appropriately use facilities management procedures - Articulate impact of decisions on groups of people — Describe governance structures and systems — Overall the objectives were met —
CHE 5760: Legal and Eth Issues in CSA Knowledge of legal issues in H.E 100% agree Differences b/w H.E. and College legal issues – 100% agree Critique personal/institutional beliefs/values – 100% agree Overall the objectives were met – 100% agree
CHE 5880: Supervised Experience in CSA (Did not survey) Utilize reflection to evaluate experience- Identify ethical issues relevant to their experience – Model and communicate principles of the prof. – Identify/critique overall experience –

3. Candidates	How:	Meet	Fall 2020	The data for
will display	Student	expectations	47 Good Standing	Learning Objective 3
evidence of	Review	as established	$\frac{0}{0}$ Concern	is collected during
maintaining	Rubric	by Rubrics	0 Withdrawal	Student Review
professional				(Fall/Spring).
dispositions.	When:			(» p8).
Grap controller	Every		Spring 2021	
	Semester		46_ Good Standing	
	(Student		0 Concern	
	Review			
	Conference)			
	Conference)			
4. Candidates	How:	80%	Assessment Rubrics	The data for
will display	Assessment	agreement on		Learning Objective 4
evidence of	Rubrics	all objectives	See Learning Objective 2	will be collected by
effective				the Department
critical thinking	Where:			Chair and
and problem	5725, 5760,			summarized for
solving skills.	5715, 5720,			review (see end of
	5725, 5735,			report).
	5741, 5750,			
	5760, 5880			
5. Candidates	How:	80%	Assessment Rubrics	The data for
will display	Assessment	agreement on		Learning Objective 5
evidence of	Rubric	all objectives	See Learning Objectives 2	will be collected by
effective oral	1140110	un objectives	<i>5 3</i>	the Department
and written	Where:			Chair and
communication	<u> 11010.</u>			summarized for
skills.	5506, 5741,			review (see end of
5221101	5950			report).
				P V.

6. Candidates will display evidence of advanced scholarship through research and/or creative activity.	How: Assessment Rubrics When: 5505, 5506	80% agreement on all objectives	Assessment Rubric CHE 5505: Research Methods in CSA Knowledge of methodology - 75% agree Ability to Critique Research — 88% agree Applied data to System wide assessment — 89% agree Overall Objectives were met — 80% agree	The data for Learning Objective 6 will be collected by the Department Chair and summarized for review (see end of report).
	Thesis	90% completed by July 1.	Thesis Completed 16 Full-Time students worked on a Thesis 94% completed the Thesis by Aug 15, 2021	
7. Overall Candidates will perceive program is helping them prepare for professional practice	How: Internship Placement Exit Survey When: Last Semester	80% agreement on survey items	 Robin Bauer - Working at EIU in the GSD Center Sonya Daucey - Working at EIU with the Honors College Devin DeBoth - Working at EIU with the VPSA Alexandra Dudley - NODA internship at the University of Texas San Antonio Anna Fishbein - Virtual Academic Advisor for UIUC College of Business Brook Gibson - NODA Internship at Murray State University Gabby Hiller - Working at EIU with Academic Advising Ashley Jezik - Working at EIU with Athletic Academic Services Nyjah Lane - Working at EIU with Dr. Shawn Peoples Brandy Matthews - ACUHO-I Internship with the University of Nebraska Yesenia Muruato - Working at EIU with New Student Programs and Orientation Colin Roberts - Working at EIU with OIAE; Virtual intern with Kuwait University (Counseling Center/Alumni Services) Lily Weaver - Working at Chip Valley Technical College's Student Life Office in Eau Claire, WI 	

Exit Survey: The following standards were met $(N = 7)$		
Not Met		Exceeded
Knowledge of Hist/Phil of H.E	57%	43%
Application of Ethical and Legal Standards	71%	29%
Know of Student Dev Theory. (no opin.7%)	7%	84%
Appl of Student Development Theory 14%		86%
Know of Student Characteristics (no opin.14%)		86%
Appl of Student Characteristics	43%	57%
Know of Individual/Group Intervention (no opin.14%)		86%
Appl of Individual/Group Intervention	43%	57%
Know of CSA Organization/Leadership models	57%	43%
Appl of CSA Organization/Leadership models	43%	57%
Know of Assessment, Evaluation, and Research	43%	57%
Appl of Assessment, Evaluation, and Research	57%	43%
Knowledge and Application of Supervised Practice	57%	43%
Satisfaction of Acad Advise (no opin.14%) 14%	57%	15%
Satisfaction with Assistance in Obtaining		
a Professional Position (no opin.14%) 14%	29%	43%
Overall Satisfaction with the		
Professional Preparation (no opin.14%)	29%	57%
Strengths of the program reported on 2021 College Survey	Student	Affairs Exit
Faculty - understanding, kind, supportive, Quality of I Classes, Advising, Great Experience, "love the program effort of the faculty in spite of COVID		
Challenge of the program reported on 2021 College Survey	Student	Affairs Exit
COVID was the only challenge indicated.		

PART TWO

Describe your program's assessment accomplishments since your last report was submitted. Discuss ways in which you have responded to the CASA Director's comments on last year's report or simply describe what assessment work was initiated, continued, or completed.

Since our last assessment the following changes have been made:

1. We are not satisfied with our current assessment process. We met recently and completely overhauled the method of assessing the Learning Objectives.

PART THREE

Summarize changes and improvements in **curriculum, instruction, and learning** that have resulted from the implementation of your assessment program. How have you used the data? What have you learned? In light of what you have learned through your assessment efforts this year and in past years, what are your plans for the future?

- 1. We continue to evaluate the curricula based on standards from the American College Student Affairs (ACPA) and National Association of Student Personnel Association (NASPA) competencies. Assessment data indicate we are meeting most of our course objectives.
- 2. In addition the following data will be shared with faculty at the upcoming fall retreat:
 - ➤ Objective 1: Based on the data, our admission process indicated we experienced a significant drop in applications most likely due to the Pandemic. Our target recruitment goal is 15 and we admitted 9 full-time students.

The online program continues to yield a fairly stable cohort of 15 - 20 which is our target number.

- ➤ Objective 2: Depth of content was measured using GPA and course objectives surveys.
 - 1. 3.0 GPA was maintained by students currently enrolled in the CSA program.
 - 2. Students indicated most courses are meeting course objectives with a few items falling slightly below the targeted 80% met standard.

- ➤ Knowledge of research methodology 75% agree
- ➤ Aware of diverse life experiences within H.E. 78% agree
- \triangleright Analyze social systems and their impact in H.E. 70% agree
- ➤ Objective 3: We spend considerable time ensuring our students maintain a professional disposition throughout the program. All CSA on-campus and online students maintained good standing over the last academic year. Several students were noted for thesis delays but the majority were successful (94%).
- ➤ Objective 4: See Objective 2 number 2.
- ➤ Objective 5: See Objective 2 number 2.
- ➤ Objective 6: Course objectives were met for this item. Completion rate on the Thesis was 94% by August 15. Creating the new course -5506 for the cohort and reducing the hours to complete the degree from 48 to 43 was a success.
- ➤ Objective 7: Exit surveys resulted in meeting our 80% threshold on all categories with one exception: Satisfaction of Academic Advisement 72% agree.

Exit data indicated they appreciated the cohort model and the fact that all full-time students have graduate assistantships in the CSA field. They enjoyed positive relationships with faculty and the GA/Internship supervisors. The class size and schedule were repeatedly emphasized as a strength.

Exit data indicated the only challenge was COVID- 19 and that the department managed that to their satisfaction.