## CASL Executive Summary for the College of Education and Professional Studies' Graduate Programs AY12 Complete reports available for review at <a href="http://www.eiu.edu/~assess/assessdata.php">http://www.eiu.edu/~assess/assessdata.php</a>

Department	Graduate Learning Goals Adopted <sup>1</sup>	Dept. Plans' Learning Objectives <sup>2</sup>	Dept. Plans' Assessment Measures	Dept. Plans' Expectations	Dept. Plans' Results	Dept. Plans' Feedback Loop
Rating Scale	4 goals <sup>3</sup>	Levels 1-3: 3 is most mature	Levels 1-3: 3 is most mature	Levels 1-3: 3 is most mature	Levels 1-3: 3 is most mature	Levels 1-3: 3 is most mature
Counseling & Student Development <sup>3</sup>	K, CT, C, S	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
Early Childhood, Elementary, and Middle Level Education	K, CT, C, S	Level 3	Level 3	Level 2	Level 3	Level 3
Educational Administration <sup>3</sup>	K, CT, C, S	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
Kinesiology & Sports Studies	K, CT, C, S	Level 2	Level 2-3	Level 2-3	Level 2	Level 3
Secondary Education & Foundations	K, CT, C, S	Level 3	Level 1-2	Level 1-2	Level 1-2	Level 2
Special Education <sup>3</sup>	K, CT, C, S	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
College Average	100% Knowledge 100% CT & PS 100% Communication 100% Scholarship	13% Level 2 88% Level 3	13% Level 1 13% Level 2 75% Level 3	13% Level 1 25% Level 2 63% Level 3	13% Level 1 13% Level 2 75% Level 3	25% Level 2 75% Level 3
University Average	100% Knowledge 96% CT & PS 100% Communication 100% Scholarship	11% Level 2 89% Level 3	4% Level 1 36% Level 2 61% Level 3	7% Level 1 29% Level 2 64% Level 3	4% Level 1 54% Level 2 43% Level 3	29% Level 2 71% Level 3


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The graduate learning goals include: a depth of content knowledge, critical thinking and problem solving, advanced scholarship through research or creative activity, and oral and written communication skills.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Levels refer to all assessment plans in the department unless otherwise designated; levels refer to the primary trait analysis for departmental assessment and are taken from the most recently submitted plan if no plan was required for AY12. Plans submitted by July 15, 2012 are included.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These graduate programs had a mature assessment program last year with all Level 3s; they were not required to submit a report this year (information from 2011 report)

## 2009-2012 Graduate Programs in the College Education and Professional Studies' Trends

Complete reports available for review at http://www.eiu.edu/~assess/assessdata.php








Number of Graduate Learning Goals Adopted								
by CEPs Programs								
	AY	AY	AY	AY				
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
Counseling &	4	4	4	4				
Student								
Development								
Early	4	4	4	4				
Childhood,								
Elementary,								
and Middle								
Level								
Education								
Educational	4	No	4	4				
Administration		report						
Kinesiology &	2	4	4	4				
Sports Studies								
Secondary			4	4				
Education &								
Foundations								
Special	4	4	4	4				
Education								
Education			<u> </u>					

## Percentage of Aspects of CEPs Graduate Program Assessment Plans Rated as 3 (Mature)



- Spring 2005- University graduate learning goals developed. Currently <u>all CEPs</u> graduate programs adopting all four graduate learning goals for the second year in a row.
- 2005 NCA visitors stated that the departmental assessment plans appear uneven in their collection and use of relevant data to support student learning. Self-study for 2015 NCA visit will begin in 2012
  - o EIU Graduate Programs Summer 2006 48% were at level 3 with objectives, 24% with measures, 17% with expectations, 3% with results, 21% with the feedback loop
- The majority of aspects for CEPS Program Assessment Plans were rated at a "2" or "3". One program at the 1-2 level on several aspects. The number of aspects rated at "3" (Mature) has significantly increased across the past three years.

\*Note: Levels may vary from year to year as programs revise their curricula and/or assessment plans. Changes in assessment plans take time to be fully implemented, thus a decline or steady number in the rating does not necessarily indicate a concern