Department of Teaching, Learning, and Foundations

College of Education

Eastern Illinois University



Handbook
For
Action Research

Graduate Research Committee – Spring 2010 Updated March, 2019

Preface

The Department of Teaching, Learning, and Foundations seeks to advance scholarly preparation by providing quality teaching and promoting excellence in research/creative activity in order for graduate students to exemplify best teaching practices for children from birth through age fourteen. Graduate students within the department have two options for their culminating experience in the Master's program. As their culminating experience, they can either complete a thesis or an action research project.

A thesis is scholarly research intended to advance knowledge within the academic discipline. Thesis research is conducted under the supervision of a thesis director and in consultation with a thesis committee composed of members of the graduate faculty. The EIU Manual for the Master's and Specialist's Thesis can be found at:

http://www.eiu.edu/graduate/pdf/thesisresearch_manual.pdf.

Action research is research that is specific to teachers and it involves a systematic inquiry completed by a teacher to improve one's practice. Action research is conducted within the teacher's educational setting and is intended to inform and improve a teacher's practice.

This handbook is intended for graduate students in the Department of Teaching,
Learning, and Foundations who choose the action research option. The handbook will serve as a
resource with regard to designing, implementing and reporting on action research completed by
graduate students in the department.

What is Action Research?

Action research is defined as any systematic inquiry conducted by teachers, administrators, counselors or others with a vested interest in the teaching and learning process or environment for the purpose of gathering information about how their particular schools operate, how they teach and how their students learn (Mills, 2011). Action research is characterized as research that is done by teachers for themselves as a systematic inquiry into one's own practice (Mertler, 2014).

Thesis vs. Action research

Thesis	Action Research		
Completed in more than one semester but only charged/billed as one course	Completed in a semester and charged/billed as one course		
Student selects chair and 2-4 other committee members from the graduate faculty, one of whom must be from the department and another must be from outside the department	Student does not select committee or chair.		
Main goal – Scholarly contribution to knowledge in the candidate's selected area of study	Main goal – Scholarly examination of classroom practice in order to improve the practice of the teacher		
If study involves human subjects, it requires approval from Institutional Review Board (IRB); CITI training needs to be completed before IRB approval is sought. The study does not have to involve human subjects.	Requires approval from Institutional Review Board (IRB) under IRB exempt category (see pages 5 & 6 for details); CITI training needs to be completed before IRB approval is sought.		
Approvals required prior to initiating thesis research: the proposal by thesis, chair & committee; approval of all Institutional Review Board (IRB) requirements, if involving human subjects	Approvals required prior to initiating action research: ELE 5900 instructor and committee feedback; IRB Board (including school building/district administrator where the action research will be conducted; letter of notification to parents).		

Ethical Issues

Action research is completed in an educational setting and falls under the purview of social and behavioral research. As with any social and behavioral research, action research must stay within the ethical boundaries set forth for human research. The following important information with regard to ethics, compiled by CITI (the Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative) needs to be adhered to with every action research project:

"One of the most important and challenging tasks that investigators face is identifying and evaluating risks associated with participation in research. Unlike biomedical research studies and clinical trials, in which the sources of risk may be more readily identifiable and quantifiable, risks associated with participation in social and behavioral science research are often more ambiguous and less predictable, such as individual reactions to certain events or questions......

"The identification, assessment, and minimization of risk is paramount to the conduct of ethical social and behavioral research."

From: https://www.citiprogram.org/

Before starting the action research process, all students are required to complete the CITI training available at http://www.eiu.edu/~grants/COMP IRB Training.php.

Exempt Research Categories

Like thesis research involving human subjects, action research projects need to be approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). Since Action Research projects need to be completed within a semester time frame, it is imperative that they be planned so that they fall within the exempt category of the IRB guidelines. The exempt category guidelines are given in the form that follows. A copy of the form is available on the next page. An electronic copy is available at http://www.eiu.edu/~grants/COMP_IRB_Forms.php.

SPECIAL NOTICE ON EXEMPT RESEARCH

Effective January 21, 2019, the federal regulations for the protection of human subjects in research have been updated, resulting in revised and expanded categories of exempt research. Following is a brief summary of the most relevant changes. The EIU IRB Form B has been revised and expanded to comply with these changes.

Category 1: Research in Established or Commonly Accepted Educational Settings

This category has been amended to include a condition that the research is *not likely to have* adverse impacts on students learning required educational content or assessment of educators who provide instruction. The exemption may only be used for studies about normal educational practices.

Category 2: Educational Test, Surveys, Interviews, Observations of Public Behavior

These types of research still quality for exempt review. A new subcategory was added that states if the research includes the collection of potentially sensitive or *harmful identifiable private information from adults, it may still be considered exempt if* an IRB conducts a limited IRB review and makes a determination that there are adequate provisions for protecting privacy and maintaining confidentiality.

Note: Visual or audio recording is now a research method that may fall in this exempt category.
*not research involving minors

Category 3: Research Involving Benign Behavioral Interventions

There is now an exemption for research involving benign behavioral interventions* in conjunction with the collection of information from adults (this is only for behavioral research, not biomedical research). It allows the collection of potentially sensitive or harmful identifiable private information from adults if an IRB conducts a limited IRB review and makes a determination that there are adequate provision for protecting privacy and maintaining confidentiality. This exemption allows for both intervention and information collection.

Deception about the research's nature or purpose is allowed if the subject authorizes the deception. *Benign Behavioral Interventions (BBI) – Are defined as being brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive, not likely to have a significant adverse lasting impact on subjects, and the investigator has no reason to think the subjects will find the interventions offensive or embarrassing.

Examples would include having the subjects play an online game, having them solve puzzles under various noise conditions, or having them decide how to allocate a nominal amount of received cash between themselves and someone else.

Category 7 & 8: Broad Consent

Allows for storage of private identifiable information or biospecimens prior to secondary research or analysis of existing private identifiable information or biospecimens.

EIU will not implement the broad consent categories at this time. We will continue to use the current practice of study specific consent or researchers may choose to use de-identified information or biospecimens.

Form B

Exempt Research Categories (45 CFR 46.104(d))

For IRB use only IRB File No.: Date received:
Approval expires:

Principal Investigator:
Title of Project:
ONLY research activities in which involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the categories specified below are eligible for exemption certification. If the research study involves a vulnerable population, such as children or prisoners, refer to 45 CFR 46 subparts C and D for protections afforded these groups.
Check the appropriate categories that apply to your research project:
1. Research, conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, that specifically involves normal educational practices that are not likely to adversely impact students' opportunity to learn required educational content or the assessment of educators who provide instruction. This includes most research on regular and special education instructional strategies, and research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.
2. Research that only includes interactions involving educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior (including visual or auditory recording) if at least one of the following criteria is met: (i) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of human subjects cannot be readily ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; (ii) Any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; or (iii) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, and an IRB conducts a limited IRB review to make the determination required by §111(a)(7)¹. Note: If the subjects are children, paragraphs (d)(2)(i) and (ii) of this exemption may only apply to research involving educational tests or observations of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities being observed. Paragraph (d)(2)(iii) of this exemption may not be applied to research involving children.
3. (i) Research involving benign behavioral interventions in conjunction with the collection of information from an adult subject through verbal or written responses (including data entry) or audiovisual recording if the subject prospectively agrees to the intervention and information collection and at least one of the following criteria are met: (A) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of human subjects cannot be readily ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; (B) Any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research would not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, educational advancement, or reputation; or (C) The information obtained is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects can readily be ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, and an IRB conducts a limited IRB review to make the determination required by §111(a)(7)¹.

(ii) For the purpose of this provision, benign behavioral interventions are brief in duration, harmless, painless, not physically invasive, not likely to have a significant adverse lasting

impact on the subjects, and the investigator has not reason to think the subjects will find the

interventions offensive or embarrassing. Provided all such criteria are met, examples of such benign behavioral interventions would include having the subjects play an online game, having them solve puzzles under various noise conditions, or having them decide how to allocate a nominal amount of received cash between themselves and someone else.

- (iii) If the research involves deceiving the subjects regarding the nature or purposes of the research, this exemption is not applicable unless the subject authorizes the deception through a prospective agreement to participate in research in circumstances in which the subject is informed that he or she will be unaware of or misled regarding the nature or purpose of the research.
- 4. Secondary research for which consent is not required: Secondary research uses of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens, if at least one of the following criteria is met:
- (i) The identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens are publicly available;
- (ii) Information, which may include information about biospecimens, is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the identity of the human subjects cannot readily be ascertained directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects, the investigator does not contact the subjects, and the investigator will not re-identify the subjects;
- (iii) The research involves only information collection and analysis involving the investigator's use of identifiable health information when that use is regulated under 45 CFR parts 160 and 164, subparts A and E, for the purposes of "health care operations" or "research" as those terms are defined at 45 CFR 164.501 or for "public health activities and purposes" as described under 45 CFR 164.512(b); or
- (iv) The research is conducted by, or on behalf of, a Federal department or agency using government-generated or government-collected information obtained for nonresearch activities, if the research generates identifiable private information that is or will be maintained on information technology that is subject to and in compliance with section 208(b) of the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. 3501 note, if all of the identifiable private information collected, used, or generated as part of the activity will be maintained in systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, and, if applicable, the information used in the research was collected subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.
- 5. Research and demonstration projects that are conducted or supported by a Federal department or agency, or otherwise subject to the approval of department or agency heads (or the approval of the heads of bureaus or other subordinate agencies that have been delegated authority to conduct the research and demonstration projects), and are designed to study, evaluate, improve, or otherwise examine public benefit or service programs including procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs, possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs. Such projects include, but are not limited to, internal studies by Federal employees, and studies under contracts or consulting arrangements, cooperative agreements, or grants. Exempt projects also include waivers of otherwise mandatory requirements using authorities such as sections 1115 and 1115A of the Social Security Act, as amended.
- (i) Each Federal department or agency conducting or supporting the research and demonstration projects must establish, on a publicly accessible Federal Web site or in such other manner as the department or agency head my determine, a list of the research and demonstration projects that the Federal department or agency conducts or supports under this provision. The research or demonstration project must be published on this list prior to commencing the research involving human subjects.
- (ii) Reserved
 - 6. Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies:
- (i) If wholesome foods without additives are consumed, or
- (ii) if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Action Research Proposal

Action Research proposals need to be approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). Since Action Research falls under the purview of research involving human subjects, graduate students need to use IRB Form A, Form B, and Informed Consent (see template) (or Waiver of Informed Consent [Form I]) for the proposal. An electronic copy is available at http://www.eiu.edu/grants/COMP_IRB_Forms.php.

Action Research Paper

Action research is a cyclical process that includes four stages: the planning stage, the acting stage, the developing stage, and the reflecting stage (Mertler, 2014). As graduate students plan, conduct and reflect on their action research, they are expected to adhere to the American Psychological Association (APA) style conventions for reporting their research. Graduate students must use a current edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* and follow its guidelines, especially with regard to formatting the paper, correct and unbiased use of language, making in-text citations and including an accurate reference list. On the next page is a rubric that will be used for the evaluation of an action research paper. This rubric both outlines the sections that need to be included within the action research paper as well as delineates the expectations for each section of the action research paper.

Rubric for Action Research

* Subject to modifications by ELE 5900 Instructor

Т	* Subject to modifications by ELE 5900 Instructor					
D 41 1 6 4 1	Proficient 146.1	Advancing	Emerging			
Rationale for topic		Selection of topic is based	Topic has not been fully			
selection and	and clearly connected to a	on classroom context but	developed based on classroom			
connection to	classroom context	lacks specificity	context			
classroom practice	771 . 1 1 1 1	771 4 1 1 1' 4'				
	The study has clear, practical	The study has application	The study's connection to a			
	applications to the resolution of	to identification of a	problem in education is not			
VV:4:	a problem in education	problem in education	clearly evident			
Writing quality and adherence to	Abstract – clear and concise, rang	ges from 200-250 words	Abstract – needs more clarity and/or does not fall within the			
			word limit			
APA Style conventions		word mint				
conventions	D. J., . f. d	- J	D- 1			
	Body of the paper – well organized		Body of the paper needs better organization, some use of			
	defined to avoid unnecessary jarg follows current APA conventions		unnecessary jargon noticed,			
	follows current At A conventions	•	formatting of the paper			
		follows current APA				
	In-text citations are appropriately	cited	In-text citations – no more			
		than 3 discrete kinds of errors				
	References – No errors					
			than 3 discrete kinds of errors			
Literature	Reviews relevant and current	Reviews relevant research	Reviews research but studies			
Review	research in an integrated	but lacks integration	not clearly related to the			
	manner		research question			
Methods &	The methods and research	The methods and research	The methods and research			
Results	design are clearly connected to	design are connected to the	design are not clearly			
	the research question	research question	connected to the research			
			question			
	The sources of data are clearly	The sources of data are	The sources of data are not			
	aligned to the research question	aligned to the research	clearly aligned to the research			
	mi i	question	question			
	The analyses are accurate using	The analyses are	The analyses do not make use			
	all the data sources	appropriate with most of	of all data sources			
	D to the state of	the data sources used	D to the state of			
	Results are clearly stated in an	Results are stated and	Results are minimally stated			
E: 1: 0	organized manner	organized	with no clear organization			
Findings &	Findings are clearly explained an	d implications for classroom	Findings are included but			
Implications	practice are relevant and explicit		implications for classroom			
			practice not explained and/or			
			implications make limited contributions to practice			
Limitations are noted or addressed with suggested future			Limitations are not noted or			
	studies	addressed and/or future				
Studies			studies not suggested			
Reflection and	n and Reflection and action plan Reflection and action pla		Reflection & action plan			
Action Plan	clearly indicate change in	Reflection and action plan consider some modification	dification indicate minimial consideration of change in classroom practice; no rationale provided for how			
ACTION FIAM	classroom practice based on	in classroom practice based				
	study and give rationale for	on study; limited rationale				
	how the change may benefit	provided for how the				
	students	change benefits students.				
		mange continuations.				
	j joenen students					

Expectations from Graduate Students

The Department of Teaching, Learning, and Foundations sets high expectations for all students. For graduate students who are in the process of completing their Master's degree, a final assessment is completed by a faculty committee. As action research is one of the options for a culminating experience for the graduate students, the faculty committee, put together by the course instructor for each student, will complete a final assessment of the graduate student after the presentation of action research findings. The rubric on the next page is used for this final assessment of the graduate student. As graduate students plan, report on and present their findings related to action research, they are advised to look at the specific sections of the rubric and provide evidence of these during the different stages of the action research process.

Rubric Used for Final Assessment of Master's Candidates

Candidate Demonstrates	Does Not Meet Standards	Meets Standards	Exceeds Standards	No Basis for Judgment
GRADUATE SCHOOL ITEMS	Standards			
a depth of content knowledge in the discipline				
effective use of technology as appropriate				
the ability to apply content knowledge to practice				
an understanding and respect for professional ethics in the discipline				
a respect for the professional environment through their honesty, integrity, and professionalism				
the ability to effectively evaluate situations and identify an appropriate course of action				
effective oral communication skills				
effective written communication skills				
effective, fair, and honest communication considering not only the message but also the				
an understanding of the role of research in the discipline				
the ability to conduct research and apply it to practice				
an understanding of individual differences in clientele				
a respect for all clientele by fostering a supportive and encouraging atmosphere in their workplace				
a respect for individual differences through the use of rich and varied approaches				
the ability to collaborate with other professionals to promote the success of their clientele				
the ability to effectively work with the community to promote the success of their clientele				
DEPARTMENTAL ITEMS:				
an ability to provide evidence of critical thinking and problem solving				
an ability to provide evidence of differentiation of curricula				

an ability to provide evidence of inquiry based instruction		
an ability to engage in reflective practice		

References

Mertler, C. A. (2014). Action research: Improving Schools and Empowering Educators (4th

ed.) Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publications.

Mills, G. E. (2011). Action research: A guide for the teacher researcher (4th ed.). Boston, MA:

Pearson.