School of Business – Business CORE - Year 2 progress report Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs)

Please list all of the student learning outcomes for your program as articulated in the assessment plan.

- 1. Demonstrate basic knowledge of functional areas of business.
- 2. Recognize and analyze ethical and legal issues in the business decision-making process.
- 3. Understand the role of Technology in Organizations and use technology effectively.
- 4. Communicate effectively.
- 5. Apply critical thinking skills to reach sound business decisions.

SLO(s)	ULG*	Measures/Instruments	How is the information Used?
Note: Measures		Please include a clear	(include target score(s), results, and report if target(s) were
might be used for		description of the instrument	met/not met/partially met for each instrument)
more than 1 SLO		including when and where it	
		is administered	
	Q 1-2	Each semester data collection	Better scores each semester than the average ETS national
		from graduating seniors	score for five subcategories in ETS exam. Subcategory
		through:	national averages are changing dynamically each semester
		1 - EIU SBUS Capstone (in-	but are from 40% to 65%. On average students performed
		house) and	0.94% better than the ETS average national scores. However,
			we are 11.38% lower than the EIU SBUS capstone targets
		2 - National ETS exam	which are at 70%. For this learning goal, we partially met our
		administered across the	goals in AY21. We use this information to review business
		business schools in the USA.	core curriculum, review Capstone questions, and bring to the
1-Demonstrate		At EIU SBUS, this national	attention of instructors to review their syllabus and in-class
basic knowledge		exam is administrated as a	activities.
of functional		graduation requirement once	
areas of business.		a semester.	
2- Recognize and			Target Scores are 70% for Ethics Case, Embedded Questions
analyze ethical	S 1	4360 and/or BUS 2750 (Every	and EIU Capstone. For EIU capstone, we are 15% lower than
and legal issues in	R 1-4	semester)	our target. For embedded questions, 3.3% above than our
the business			target. For Ethics case, we are 12.8% lower than our target.
decision-making		2 - Embedded Questions from	We can conclude we partially met in AY21 our goals in this
process.		BUS 2750 (Every semester)	category. We use this information to review business core
			curriculum, review Capstone questions, and bring to the
		3 - EIU Capstone Questions	attention of instructors to review their syllabus and in-class
		(Every Semester)	activities.
	Q 6	1- BUS 1950 Excel, BUS 1950	Target Scores are 70% for In-Class Projects and EIU Capstone.
3-Understand the		Access and BUS 3500 Projects	In class projects, we are 20.2% higher than our target. For EIU
role of		(Every semester)	Capstone, we are 15% lower than our target. We conclude we
technology in			partially met the goals in AY21 in this category. We use this
organizations and		2- EIU Capstone Questions	information to review business core curriculum, review
use technology		(Every semester)	Capstone questions, and bring to the attention of instructors
effectively.			to review their syllabus and in-class activities.

Overview of Measures/Instruments

4- Communicate	S 1-7	1- EWP Samples for SBUS	Target Score is 3.00/4.00 for EWP Samples and Oral
effectively.	W 1-7	students (Every semester)	Communication Assignments. We are 16.8 above our target
			for EWP samples, and we are 14.25% above our target for
		2- Oral Communication Data	Oral Communication. We met the goals in AY21. We use this
		Collected at Senior Seminars	information to review business core curriculum and bring to
		for SBUS students (Every	the attention of instructors to review their syllabus and in-
		Semester)	class activities.
5- Apply critical	C 1-6	1- BUS 3710 Embedded	Target Score for BUS 3710 Embedded Questions and EIU
thinking skills to	Q 1-6	Questions (Every Semester)	Capstone is 70%. For Embedded Questions, we are 23.6%
reach sound			below and for EIU Capstone, we are 27.1% below our targets.
business		2- EIU Capstone Questions	We did not meet the goals in AY21. We use this information
decisions.		(Every Semester)	to review business core curriculum, review Capstone
			questions, and bring to the attention of instructors to review
			their syllabus and in-class activities.

*Please reference any University Learning Goal(s) (ULG) that this SLO, if any, may address or assess. C=Critical Thinking, W=Writing & Critical Reading; S=Speaking and Listening; Q=Quantitative reasoning; R=Responsible Citizenship; NA=Not Applicable

Score Card:

	EIU Capstone Target Deviation	ETS National Examination Target Deviation	Embedded Questions / In Class Projects Target Deviation	Written In Class Cases / EWP Target Deviation
1-Demonstrate basic				
knowledge of functional areas of business.	-11.38%	0.94%	N/A	N/A
2-Recognize and analyze				
ethical and legal issues				
in the business decision-	4 = 0 (2 2 2 2	10.000/
making process	-15%	N/A	3.30%	-12.80%
3- Understand the role				
of Technology in				
Organizations and use				
technology effectively	-9.80%	N/A	20.20%	N/A
4- Communicate				1.50/
effectively.	N/A	N/A	14.25%	16%
5-Apply critical thinking				
skills to reach sound				
business decisions	-23.60%	N/A	-27.10%	N/A

*Positive numbers show better results than the target

Assessment 2019-2021 Summary of Results Learning Goal 1. Demonstrate basic knowledge of functional areas of business.

n			
Course(s)		Performanc	e
	AY19	AY20	AY21
BUS 2101	52.3%	55.8%	54.0%
BUS 2102	62.4%	61.3%	62.2%
Average:	57.4%	58.6%	58.1%
BUS 3710	57.8%	58.4%	53.8%
	CE 40(66.49/
			66.4%
			57.6%
Average:	68.6%	67.8%	62.0%
BUS 3470	50.2%	57.4%	57.6%
20001.0		0,,0	0,10,0
BUS 3200	62.0%	62.7%	61.6%
	Course(s) BUS 2101 BUS 2102 Average: BUS 3710 BUS 3010 BUS 4360 Average: BUS 3470	Course(s) AY19 BUS 2101 52.3% BUS 2102 62.4% Average: 57.4% BUS 3710 57.8% BUS 3010 65.1% BUS 4360 72.1% Average: 68.6% BUS 3470 50.2%	Course(s) Performant AY19 AY20 BUS 2101 52.3% 55.8% BUS 2102 62.4% 61.3% Average: 57.4% 58.6% BUS 3710 57.8% 58.4% BUS 3010 65.1% 67.5% BUS 4360 72.1% 68.1% Average: 68.6% 67.8% BUS 3470 50.2% 57.4%

Table A: Results from EIU Capstone Exam

Table B: Learning Goal 1 Historical Performance (weighted average)

Over (Under)		Over (Over (Under)		Over (Under)		
Learning Goal		AY19Per	formance	AY20 Performance		AY21	Performance
1.1	Accounting	44.4%	1.3%	41.7%	(1.3%)	45.8%	2.7%
1.2	Finance	42.7%	(0.6%)	42.6%	(0.5%)	42.5%	(0.7%)
1.3	Management	62.0%	0.6%	59.4%	(1.8%)	62.2%	1.0%
1.4	Marketing	53.7%	3.2%	50.7%	0.3%	53.2%	2.8%
1.5	Int'l Business	40.6%	0.8%	39.6%	2.9%	38.6%	(1.1%)

Learning Goal 2: Recognize and analyze ethical and legal issues in the business decision-making process.

The specific learning goals for this section include:

- 2.1: Articulate relevant ethical principles and values from the perspectives of various business stakeholders and apply those theories in making and assessing business decisions.
- 2.2: Compare and contrast the characteristics of business structures/legal entities.

2.3: Apply fundamental principles of tort, contract, agency, intellectual property, and employment law in analyzing business decisions.

Table C: Learning Goal 2 Historical Performance

<u>Learni</u>	ing Goal A	Y19	AY20	AY21				
Perce	Percent of Students Scoring 'Acceptable' or higher:							
2.1	Written Ethics Case (4360)	75.6%	N/A	N/A				
2.1	Written Ethics Case (2750)	93.6%	81.0%	57.2%				
Embe	dded Questions from BUS 275	<u>50</u>						
2.2	Business Organizations	75.1%	77.6%	71.5%				
2.3	Torts	71.1%	82.9%	81.8%				
2.3	Contracts	78.5%	83.9%	81.4%				
2.3	Employment Law	71.1%	8	86.6% 87.4%				
2.3	Intellectual Property	71.4%	73.8%	74.0%				
2.3	Agency Law	<u>57.9%</u>	<u>56.1%</u>	<u>54.6%</u>				
	LG 2.3 Avera	ge 70.8%		76.7%	75.1%			
EIU Capstone Exam Results								
2.2	Business Organizations	59.8%	61.6%	40.0%				
2.3	Torts, Contracts, Employme	nt 72.2%	7	72.0%	70.1%			

Learning Goal 3: Understand the role of Technology in Organizations and use technology effectively.

The specific learning goals for this section include:

3.1: Demonstrate competency in business productivity software (e.g., Microsoft Office).

3.2: Analyze, design, and develop a small relational database using a current development methodology.

Table D: Learning Goal 3.1 and 3.2 Results

		AY19	AY20	AY21
3.1	BUS 1950 - Excel	76.0%	80.5%	83.0%
3.1	BUS 1950 - Access	80.5%	83.0%	85.0%
3.2	BUS 3500 Project (% scoring proficient or higher)	95.1%	89.4%	96.4%

Table E: EIU Capstone Scores

Learning Goal:	Course(s)	Performance		ce
		AY19	AY20	AY21
3.1	BUS 1950	51.7%	50.9%	52.7%
3.2	BUS 3500	62.4%	59.3%	67.7%

Learning Goal 4: Communicate effectively.

For AY21, the average score for Learning Goal 4.1(Write effective business communications appropriate for the audience), as based on the 331 EWP samples collected for the School of Business was assessed at 3.37/4.00, which exceeds the goal for the School of Business which is 3.00. This average is above the 3.27 average for AY20.

For Learning Goal 4.2(Prepare and give a formal oral presentation appropriate for the audience), the average score is based on the oral communication data that is collected in the senior seminar courses. Based on 113student submissions assessed for AY21, the average score was 3.44/4.00, which exceeds the goal for the School of Business of 3.00. This average is below the 3.61 average for AY20.

Learning Goal 5: Apply critical thinking skills to reach sound business decisions.

Learning Goal:	Course(s)		Performance		
		AY19	AY20	AY21	
5.1	BUS 2810	42.1%	43.5%	42.9%	
	BUS 3950	51.5%	52.4%	49.8%	
	Average:	46.8%	48.0%	46.4%	
Table G: Case Study Scores					
	A.V.4.0	41/20			
	AY19	AY20	AY21		
5.2: BUS 3710 Embedded Questions	77.8%	74.1%	42.9%		

Table F: EIU Capstone Scores

AACSB

May 22, 2020

Austin Cheney Dean Eastern Illinois University Lumpkin College of Business and Technology Lumpkin Hall 4800 600 Lincoln Avenue Charleston, IL 61920 acheney@eiu.edu

Dear Dean Cheney:

It is my pleasure to inform you that the peer review team recommendation to extend accreditation for the degree programs in business offered by Eastern Illinois University is concurred with by the Continuous Improvement Review Committee (CIRC) and ratified by the Board of Directors. Congratulations to you, the faculty, the students, the staff, and all supporters of Eastern Illinois University.

Eastern Illinois University has achieved accreditation for five additional years. The next on-site continuous improvement review occurs in the fifth year, 2024-2025. A timeline specific to the school's visit year is available <u>here</u>.

One purpose of peer review is to recognize initiatives that support an environment of continuous improvement of quality programs. As noted in the team report Eastern Illinois University is to be commended on the best practices found on **Attachment A.**

The school should begin to address the concern(s) identified by the peer review team and CIRC. As part of the next Continuous Improvement Review Application, due July 1, 2022, the school is asked to update the CIRC on the progress made in addressing the concerns listed on **Attachment B**.

Please refer to the <u>Continuous Improvement Review Handbook</u> for more information regarding the processes for continuous improvement reviews. The handbook is evolving and will be updated frequently to provide the latest revisions to the CIR process. Continue to monitor the website for the most current version of the handbook.

Again, congratulations from the Accreditation Council and AACSB International - The Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business. Thank you for participating in the continuous improvement review process and for providing valuable feedback that is essential to a meaningful and beneficial review.

Sincerely,

ohn a Shirt

John Elliott, Chair Board of Directors

cc: peer review team

Americas Headquarters

777 South Harbour Island Blvd. Suite 750 Tampa, Florida 33602-5730 USA Main +1 813 769 6500 Fax: +1 813 769 6559 Europe, Middle East, and Africa Headquarters UP Building, Piet Heinkade 55

UP Building, Piet Heinkade 55 1019 GM Amsterdam, The Netherlands Main: +31 20 509 1070 **Asia Pacific Headquarters**

331 North Bridge Road #10-04/05 Odeon Towers Singapore, 188720 Main: +65 6592 5210 Fax: +65 6339 6511

Decltr_Extend_BOARD_v20190603

May 22, 2020

Eastern Illinois University

Attachment A

This section provides a brief description of commendations and best practices of the school that demonstrate leadership and high-quality continuous improvement in management education.

- Faculty engagement with students is quite high.
- The Business Advisory Board is small but effective, especially in mentoring. Students are engaged with the business community through mentorships with advisory board members.
- The business school endowments allow for additional fiscal support.

May 22, 2020

Eastern Illinois University

Attachment B

This section identifies areas that the school should address during the coming review cycle. Please be prepared to discuss progress made in addressing these concerns in the continuous improvement review application.

- 1. The college should refine and improve its policies concerning intellectual contributions (ICs) in order to set clearer guidelines in terms of the expected quality and impact of ICs, as well as improving the incentives for faculty to produce an intellectual contribution portfolio that is consistent with the school's mission. (Standard 2: Intellectual Contributions, Impact, and Alignment with Mission)
- 2. The school should further refine its faculty qualification definitions, consistent to AACSB Standards, particularly considering the principle that Practice Academics (PA) sustain currency and relevance through professional engagement. Additionally, the school should explain how the faculty deployment system across degree programs, which seems not to allocate sufficient qualified faculty to bachelor programs, is aligned to the school's mission, expected quality outcomes, and strategies. (Standard 15: Faculty Qualifications and Engagement)
- 3. Although the school has effective learning assessment processes in place, it should evolve to a more mature curricula management process, effectively using assessment results to diagnose gap causes and implement actions that may improve student learning (Standard 8: Curricula Management and Assurance of Learning)



Lumpkin College of Business and Technology Office of the Dean

Lumpkin Hall Room 4800 600 Lincoln Avenue, Charleston, Illinois 61920-3099

Office: (217) 581-3526 | eiu.edu/lumpkin

March 18, 2022

Dr. Gurkan Akalin Assistant Chair for Administration and Assessment RE: Year 2 Program Assessment Review – BUS Core

Documents submitted and reviewed:

- 1. Year 2 Table of Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for Academic Programs, with appendices: "Score Card" and "Assessment 2019-2021 Summary of Results"
- 2. Letter to School of Business dated 5/17/21 as Evidence of Ongoing AACSB accreditation of Accounting

Summary of Assessment Evaluation:

The Business Core is required for all School of Business majors in conjunction with their major course requirements. This core reflects courses taken at all four levels from Freshman (1000-) to Senior (4000-). AACSB assessment for undergraduate programs considers only the BUS Core for Assessment purposes, unlike EIU's approach to assessing majors.

The BUS Core has a long-established plan for assessing program student learning objectives that last underwent AACSB accreditation review during AY2020 based on AY2014-2019. As such, AY 2020 was Year 1 of the next assessment cycle, and AY 2021 was Year 2, resulting in the Year 2 Program Assessment Review in Fall 2021. An initial submission of materials was made in a timely manner for review, but needed revisions in format and in meeting requested content causing a delay in the Year 2 review.

It is noted that EIU requirements (as detailed in attachments to a 12/16/19 memo to faculty from Provost Gatrell) for accredited programs are to submit two elements:

- 1) Evidence of Ongoing Accreditation (provided by Dr. John Willems for this program and others in the School of Business), and
- 2) Annual (or periodic) Accreditation Report. However, the AACSB annual BSQ (for Business programs) does not include any assessment-related information and is not relevant, nor provided. In lieu of this report, accredited programs are asked to **provide the raw data** to demonstrate ongoing data collection.

The submitted file of Years 1 and 2 assessment:

- 1. SLOs & ULG: Identifies the five learning objectives that were established in previous AACSB and Assessment Review Cycles. The current SLOs were created in AY18 by revising/ simplifying the previous SLOs. University undergraduate learning goals have been mapped with SLOs; for SLO2 the Speaking ULG does not seem to be consistent with the actual measures.
- 2. Measures/Instruments: The single largest source of data for assessment, by design, comes from a locally created "EIU Capstone Questions" and from the national ETS Exam administered in BUS 4360, the Capstone class for all School of Business majors. Please

ensure that the EIU Capstone Questions are summarized as to the nature of the instrument in your Year 4 report, in lieu of attaching the actual instrument. This would include how it is developed (content validity) and longitudinal indication of year over year validity (criterion validity) and/or reliability of the measure.

Assignments and/or embedded questions from five BUS core classes are identified specifically for data collection - two courses from lower division (BUS 1950 and BUS 2750) and three upper division courses (BUS 3500, BUS 3710 & BUS 4360) which should provide additional insight as to the progress being made as students matriculate in the program.

Overall, measures and instruments being used are sound choices and are collected on a regular basis; a reliance on university-collected data (EWP and Senior Seminar) for SLO 4 - Communicate effectively - may be something that you want to consider securing a local measure to ensure that data is collected with your expectations more closely aligned. In the past, a sampling technique in a BUS core class in a single semester with multiple faculty reviewing video presentations and papers has been an effective mechanism for doing this.

3. Target scores, results: Please avoid narratives for this column and instead list target scores and the actual data by term. For example, for SLO1:

Target: Above national average by ETS functional area, 70% or above on EIU Capstone Results: See annual data and national comparisons in Table 1; For year 1: ETS goal met, EIU Capstone not met.

When referencing comparisons, it would be helpful to identify not just the result, but the numbers that went into calculation, and to ensure that these numbers also appear and match with the data in your tables. In the Overview table, your summary of how the information is being used is adequate. However, you will need to have annual and cyclical analysis of the data specific to the steps and discussion that you took for the next section "Improvements and Changes Based on Assessment" (items 1 & 2). Please note the specificity of #3 in that section as well, as you will need to demonstrate the results of the annual review and the faculty members involved in each of those reviews.

Performance data for AY19 (not needed), AY20 and AY21 (the first and second years of this assessment review cycle) is listed in the appendix, comparison data for national ETS results should be included as should data for the EIU Capstone results.

Subsequent aspects of assessment reporting are not provided beyond the Overview Table in this Year 2 review for comment. Overall, it appears that the assessment process in the BUS core is following a rigorous design and intent is established. The faculty should be encouraged to utilize Years 3 & 4 data, along with 1 & 2 to provide indication of trends and continuous improvement in the program and to ensure documentation is occurring of those discussions.

Melody L Wollan, PhD, SHRM-SCP Associate Dean, Lumpkin College of Business & Technology mlwollan@eiu.edu