Eastern Illinois University Department of Early Childhood, Elementary, and Middle Level Education ELE 5600: Diagnosis of Reading Problems Fall, 2017

Credit Hours: 3 semester hours

Prerequisites: ELE 3280, ELE 3281, or permission of department chair

Instructor: Dr. Debbie Harrison
Office: Buzzard Hall, 2219
E-mail: dharrison@eiu.edu

Office Hours: Wednesday, 9:00 AM to 12:30 PM, Thursday, 10:30 to 12:00 PM

Class Meeting: Thursdays 4:30-7:00

Unit Theme: EDUCATOR AS CREATOR OF EFFECTIVE EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS: INTEGRATING

STUDENTS, SUBJECTS, STRATEGIES, SOCIETIES AND TECHNOLOGIES.

Graduate Mission Statement:

The Graduate Program in Elementary Education advances scholarly preparation by providing quality teaching and promoting excellence in research/creative activity in order for graduate students to exemplify best teaching practices for children from birth through age fourteen. The graduate curriculum encompasses comprehensive content knowledge and promotes the use of critical thinking and problem solving to cultivate teacher-researchers who are empowered to serve as leaders in the profession. Faculty members challenge students to bridge the gap between theory and practice as they develop the skills required for ethical and effective collaboration and communication within the local school community and a culturally diverse, technologically advanced global environment.

Outcomes for all graduate Students at eastern Illinois University

Graduate students will:

- 1. possess a depth of content knowledge including effective technology skills and ethical behaviors;
- 2. engage in critical thinking and problem solving:
- 3. exhibit effective oral and written communication skills;
- 4. engage in advanced scholarship through research and/or creative activity;
- 5. demonstrate an ability to work with diverse clientele, recognizing individual differences; and
- 6. collaborate and create positive relations within the school, community, and profession in which they work.

Catalog Description

A study of the types and causes of reading difficulty; approaches to diagnosis for teachers, clinicians, and supervisors.

Course Rationale

This course is designed to provide students with the knowledge and skills necessary to assess students' reading ability. It partially fulfills an Illinois State Board of Education requirement for the reading teacher endorsement and the EC/ELE/MLE Master's Degree in Elementary Education—Reading Emphasis.

Course Goal

A major goal of the course is to provide students with necessary skills and competencies to perform appropriate diagnosis as a precursor for prescriptive instruction. Interpretation and communication of test results are also emphasized.

Texts & Resources

McKenna, M. C., & Stahl, K.A.D (2015). Assessment for reading instruction. (3rd ed.). New York, NY: The Guilford Press

Roe, B.D. & Burns, P.C. (2011). *Informal reading inventory: Preprimer to twelfth grade* (8th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.

Supplemental Materials

Reading Resources Website - http://www.eiu.edu/elegrad/reading_resources/reading_resources.php

Information-Processing Models

Information–processing models emphasize ways of enhancing the human being's innate drive to make sense of the world by acquiring and organizing data, sensing problems and generating solutions to them, and developing concepts and language for conveying them. (pp. 25-28)

Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2000). *Models of teaching* (8th ed.). Boston: Pearson.

Illinois Common Core Standards: http://www.isbe.net/common core/pls/level1/pdf/ela-standards.pdf
http://www.isbe.state.il.us/peac/pdf/IL prof teaching stds.pdf

Performance Outcomes

At the conclusion of the course, the student will:

- know models of and procedures for providing reading diagnosis and educational services to students with reading problems.
- know a wide variety of informal and formal assessments of reading, writing, spelling, and oral language.
- understand the uses and limitations of informal and formal assessments.
- understand models of reading diagnosis that include students' proficiency with print conventions, word recognition and analysis, vocabulary, fluency, comprehension, self monitoring, and motivation.
- gather and interpret information for diagnosis of the reading problems of individual students.
- interpret and explain diagnostic information for classroom teachers, parents, and other specialists to assist them in planning instructional programs.

Course Requirements

Course (Core)	Demonstrated Competencies	Graduate Standards
Requirements		
Review of professional research articles/	The student will demonstrate his/her knowledge of reading diagnosis and instruction by reviewing and summarizing	1.a. a depth of content knowledge in the discipline
presentation of a selected article	professional research articles. One article will be presented orally to the class. Knowledge Indicators:	1.b. effective use technology
	The competent reading teacher: 1A. knows theoretical models and philosophies of reading education and their relevance to instruction.	1.d. an understanding and respect for professional ethics in the discipline
	1C. knows the history of reading instruction and its relevance to current theory and practice.1D. is aware of trends, controversies, and issues in reading education.	2.a. critical thinking and problem solving
	1L. uses technology to support reading and writing instruction.	3.a. effective oral communication skills
	2E. is aware of a variety of individualized and group instructional interventions or programs for students with reading problems.	3.b. effective written communication skills
	3B. knows exemplary programs and practices in reading education.5A. is aware of and adheres to ethical standards of professional conduct in reading education.	3.c. effective, fair, and honest communication considering the message and audience
	Performance Indicators: The competent reading teacher: 2L. interprets and explains diagnostic information for classroom teachers, parents, and other specialists to assist them in planning instructional programs.	4.a. an understanding of the role of research in the discipline

	5C. stays current with developments in reading education	6.a. the ability to collaborate
	and literature for children and adolescents by reading	with other professionals to
	professional journals and other publications and by	promote the success of their
	attending professional conferences.	clientele
Computer project	The student will use technology to complete a project for parental involvement or parent information related to	1.a. a depth of content knowledge in the discipline
	reading diagnosis and/or instruction.	-
	Knowledge Indicators:	1.b. effective use of
	The competent reading teacher:	technology
	1D. is aware of trends, controversies, and issues in reading	
	education.	1.c. the ability to apply
	1F. understands, respects, and values cultural, linguistic,	content knowledge to
	and ethnic diversity and knows how these differences can influence learning to read.	practice
	1H. knows a wide range of quality literature for students.	2.a. critical thinking and
	2B. understands models of reading disabilities used in special education.	problem solving
	2C. knows a wide variety of informal and formal	3.a. effective oral
	assessments of reading, writing, spelling, and oral	communication skills
	language. 3A. knows State and national educational standards that are	3.b. effective written
	relevant to reading education.	communication skills
	3B. knows exemplary programs and practices in reading	
	education.	3.c. effective, fair, and honest
	3C. is aware of guidelines for the evaluation of curriculum	communication considering
	material and instructional technology.	the message and the audience
	4A. understands the value of community support for school	5.a. an understanding of
	reading programs.	individual differences in
	Performance Indicators:	clientele
	The competent reading teacher:	
	II. adjusts reading instruction to meet the needs of diverse	5.d. an ability to provide
	learners (e.g., gifted students, students with limited English	evidence of differentiation of
	proficiency), as well as those who speak non-standard dialects.	curricula
	1L. uses technology to support reading and writing	5 199 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	instruction.	5.e. an ability to provide
	3F. participates in the evaluation and selection of	evidence of inquiry based instruction
	instructional materials, including textbooks, tradebooks,	ilisti uction
	materials for students with special needs, and technology.	5.f. an ability to engage in
	4B. communicates effectively about reading to the general	reflective practice
	public.	-
	4C. facilitates home-school connections and parental	6.a. the ability to collaborate
	participation in school reading programs.	with other professionals to
		promote the success of their
		clientele
		6.b. the ability to effectively
		work with the community to
		promote the success of their
		clientele

Test overview and presentation

The student will demonstrate his/her knowledge of the ability to diagnose reading problems, by investigating a selected assessment and doing a presentation on that assessment.

Knowledge Indicators:

The competent reading teacher:

- 1B. knows the scope and sequences for reading instruction at all developmental levels, pre-K through grade 12.
- 1F. understands, respects, and values cultural, linguistic, and ethnic diversity and knows how these differences can influence learning to read.
- 2A. understands models of reading diagnosis that include students' proficiency with print conventions, word recognition and analysis, vocabulary, fluency, comprehension, self monitoring, and motivation.
- 2C. knows a wide variety of informal and formal assessments of reading, writing, spelling, and oral language.
- 2D. understands the uses and limitations of informal and formal assessments.
- 5A. is aware of and adheres to ethical standards of professional conduct in reading education.

Performance Indicators:

- 1L. uses technology to support reading and writing instruction.
- 2H. determines strengths and needs of individual students in the areas of reading, writing, and spelling.
- 2I. determines students' reading levels (independent, instructional, and frustration).
- 2J. gathers and interprets information for diagnosis of the reading problems of individual students.
- 2L. interprets and explains diagnostic information for classroom teachers, parents, and other specialists to assist them in planning instructional programs.

- 1.b. effective use of technology as appropriate
- 1.c. the ability to apply content knowledge to practice
- 1.d. an understanding and respect for professional ethics in the discipline
- 1.e. a respect for the professional environment through honesty, integrity, and professionalism
- 2.a. critical thinking and problem solving
- 2.b. the ability to effectively evaluate situations and identify an appropriate course of action
- 3.a. effective oral communication skills
- 3.b. effective written communication skills
- 4.a. an understanding of the role of research in the discipline
- 5.a. an understanding of individual differences in clientele
- 5.c. a respect for individual differences through the use of rich and varied approaches
- 5.d. an ability to provide evidence of differentiation of curricula
- 6.a. the ability to collaborate with other professionals to promote the success of their clientele

Test Analysis Packet	The student will demonstrate his/her knowledge of the	1.a. depth of content
Test Analysis Packet	ability to diagnosis reading problems by administering and	knowledge in the discipline
	interpreting reading assessments appropriate to the level of	knowledge in the discipline
	the client.	1.b. effective use of
	Knowledge Indicators:	technology as appropriate
	The competent reading teacher:	
	1B. knows the scope and sequences for reading instruction	1.c. the ability to apply
	at all developmental levels, pre-K through grade 12.	content knowledge to practice
	1F. understands, respects, and values cultural, linguistic,	
	and ethnic diversity and knows how these differences can	1.d. an understanding and
	influence learning to read.	respect for professional
	2A. understands models of reading diagnosis that include students' proficiency with print conventions, word	ethics in the discipline
	recognition and analysis, vocabulary, fluency,	1.e. a respect for the
	comprehension, self monitoring, and motivation.	professional environment
	2C. knows a wide variety of informal and formal	through honesty, integrity,
	assessments of reading, writing, spelling, and oral language.	and professionalism
	2D. understands the uses and limitations of informal and	2.a. critical thinking and
	formal assessments.	problem solving
	5A. is aware of and adheres to ethical standards of	
	professional conduct in reading education.	2.b. the ability to effectively
	Performance Indicators:	evaluate situations and
	1L. uses technology to support reading and writing	identify an appropriate course
	instruction.	of action
	2H. determines strengths and needs of individual students in the areas of reading, writing, and spelling.	3.a. effective oral
	2I. determines students' reading levels (independent,	communication skills
	instructional, and frustration).	communication skins
	2J. gathers and interprets information for diagnosis of the	3.b. effective written
	reading problems of individual students.	communication skills
	2L. interprets and explains diagnostic information for	
	classroom teachers, parents, and other specialists to assist	4.a. an understanding of the
	them in planning instructional programs.	role of research in the
		discipline
		5.a. an understanding of
		individual differences in
		clientele
		5.c. a respect for individual
		differences through the use of
		rich and varied approaches
		5.d. an ability to provide
		evidence of differentiation of curricula
		Curricula
		6.a. the ability to collaborate
		with other professionals to
		promote the success of their
		clientele
Participation	The student will participate in assigned class activities to	1.a. depth of content
	demonstrate and share knowledge of information, concepts,	knowledge in the discipline

and strategies related to reading diagnosis, assessment, and	
instruction.	1.c. the ability to apply
Knowledge Indicators:	content knowledge to practice
The competent reading teacher	content knowledge to practice
1A. knows theoretical models and philosophies of reading	2.a. critical thinking and
education and their relevance to instruction.	problem solving
1C. knows the history of reading instruction and its	
relevance to current theory and practice.	2.b. the ability to effectively
1D. is aware of trends, controversies, and issues in reading	evaluate situations and
education.	identify an appropriate course
1F. understands, respects, and values cultural, linguistic,	of action
and ethnic diversity and knows how these differences can	
influence learning to read.	3.a. effective oral
2F. knows models of and procedures for providing reading	communication skills
diagnosis and educational services to students with reading	
problems.	4.a. an understanding of the
2L. interprets and explains diagnostic information for	role of research in the
classroom teachers, parents, and other specialists to assist	discipline
them in planning instructional programs.	- C - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
3B. knows exemplary programs and practices in reading	5.f. an ability to engage in
education.	reflective practice
4A. understands the value of community support for school	Conthe abilities to call about
reading programs.	6.a. the ability to collaborate
5A. is aware of and adheres to ethical standards of	with other professionals to
professional conduct in reading education.	promote the success of their clientele
2L. interprets and explains diagnostic information for	Chemele
classroom teachers, parents, and other specialists to assist	
them in planning instructional programs.	
3F. participates in the evaluation and selection of	
instructional materials, including textbooks, tradebooks,	
materials for students with special needs, and technology.	
5B. reflects on teaching practices and conducts self-evaluation.	
evaluation.	

Although graduate courses may have common assignments (e.g., critiques of journal articles, literature reviews, or research papers), the overall goal of the program in elementary education is to provide a "spiral curriculum". The class assignments submitted by a graduate student must provide evidence of growth and advancement by building upon prior coursework, but not duplicating previous projects, experiences, or materials.

Course (Core) Requirements	Brief Description	Point Values Approximate Weight	Due Date
Review of professional research articles	The student will select two articles from scholarly journals pertaining to reading difficulties, diagnosis, assessment, or intervention programs and write a two-page (double-spaced) summary. See rubric on D2L. Articles should have been published within the past five years. *Refer to Supplemental Instructions for Assignments for additional information.	40 points (20 pts for each article)	December 14

Computer Project	The student will use technology to complete a project (e.g., handbook, power point, informational brochure, summer reading packet, etc.) related to reading diagnosis and/or instruction which could be used for parent education or parent involvement meetings. *Refer to Supplemental Instructions for Assignments for additional information and specific guidelines.	20 points	November 9th
Test overview presentation	The student will demonstrate his/her knowledge of the ability to diagnose reading problems by presenting a selected assessment to the class. *Refer to Supplemental Instructions for Assignments for additional information and specific guidelines.	20 points	September 14
Test Analysis Packet	The student will administer eight reading assessments (both formal and informal) for the purpose of learning the proper procedures for administering, scoring, and interpreting test results. Results will be analyzed and reported following Summary Cover Sheet guidelines. *Refer to Supplemental Instructions for Assignments for additional information and specific guidelines.	80 points	December 7
Participation	The student will participate in chapter responses(both online and on campus) and assigned in-class activities (e.g., collaborative groups, pair and share, brainstorming, carousels, jigsaw, role modeling, etc.) to demonstrate and share information, concepts, skills, and strategies related to reading diagnosis, assessment, and instruction.	213 points	Dates vary

GRADING SCALE

A	(93-100%)
B	(85-92%)
C	(77-84%)
D	(69-76%)
& below F	(68% and below)

The Department of EC/ELE/MLE is committed to the learning process and academic integrity as defined within the Student Conduct Code Standard I. "Eastern students observe the highest principles of academic integrity and support a campus environment conducive to scholarship." Students are expected to develop original and authentic work for assignments submitted in this course. "Conduct in subversion of academic standards, such as cheating on examinations, plagiarism, collusion, misrepresentation or falsification of data" or "submitting work previously presented in another course unless specifically permitted by the instructor" are considered violations of this standard.

Tentative Course Calendar

When there is a Discussion Board Assignment due, there is a <u>usually</u> a response due also. See Weekly Overview for due dates.

(see D2L for more information on assignments)

Date	Topic/Reading	Assignments
8/24	Introduction to class, discussion of Syllabus Explain Response Assignments, Demonstrate Assessment Overview Assessment overviews assigned Rise Above the Mark video excerpt discussion, additional activities	Video discussion (in class)
8/31	Read Chapter One Introduction to Reading Assessment	4-Square Response due to D2L dropbox, by midnight, August 31 st ,2 questions to Discussion Board by midnight August 31 st , responses to posts due by midnight on Sunday, Sept. 3
9/7	Read Chapter Two General Concepts of Assessments	Double Entry Journal due to D2L dropbox, by midnight, Sept. 7 ^{th,} also post to Discussion Board by midnight Sept. 7 responses to posts due by midnight on Sunday, Sept. 10th
9/14	Test Overview presentations Demonstration of IRI passages, marking, interpreting, etc Running Records discussion Sign out various assessments	Test Overview due, bring to class Read pp. 57-66 on Running Records, any questions or concerns you have about this information? Bring the IRI book to class
9/21	Read Chapter 4 Emergent Literacy	5-4-3-2-1 response form due to dropbox by midnight on September 21st. Post your three questions and two connections from the response form to your Discussion Board by midnight on Sept. 21st your responses to your group members' posts are due by midnight on Sunday, Sept. 24th
9/28	Read the article Spelling, A Necessary Evil? on D2L.	5-3-1 response form due to dropbox by midnight,

		September 28 th . No Discussion Board this week!
10/5	NO CLASS, Dr Harrison at Illinois Reading	NO CLASS!!!
, .	Council Conference	
10/12	Read Chapter 5 Word Recognition and Spelling Other spelling activities	Five writing prompts response to the chapter, (you can submit to dropbox on D2L, but we are discussing so please have access, or bring a hard
		copy to class)
10/19	Read Chapter 6 Fluency	Post the Reading Response Form to the Dropbox by midnight, October 19 th . Post your connection and 2 questions from the Reading Response Form to your Discussion Board by midnight, Thursday, October 19th. Your responses to your group members' questions (and connection, if applicable) are due by midnight on Sunday, October 22 nd .
10/26	Read Chapter 7 Vocabulary	4-Square Response due to D2L dropbox, by midnight, October 26 th . 2 questions to Discussion Board by midnight October 26 th , responses to posts due by midnight on Sunday, October 29 th
11/2	Read Chapter 8 Comprehension	Respond to the chapter by using the Response Log. Post the Response Log to the Dropbox by midnight Thursday, November 2nd. Post the Four Points for Discussion to your Discussion Board by midnight on Thursday, November, 2 nd . Your responses
11/9	Rubrics Presentation of Computer Projects	to the discussion points are due by midnight on Sunday, November 5 th . Computer projects due

11/16	Read Chapter 10 Affective Factors	5-3-1 response form due to dropbox by midnight, November 16 th . The three thinking questions are to be posted to your Discussion Board by midnight, November 16 th . The responses to posts due by midnight, Sunday, November 19 th .
11/23	THANKSGIVING BREAK!!!! EAT, DRINK, RELAX, and ENJOY!!!!	
11/30	Read the article, How to and How Not to Prepare Students for the New Tests on D2L	Double Entry Journal due to D2L dropbox, by midnight, Nov. 30th also post to Discussion Board by midnight Nov. 30th, responses to posts due by midnight on Sunday, Dec. 3 th
12/7	Test Analysis Packet Discussion of Results	Test Analysis Packet Due
12/14	Article Reviews due	Article Reviews due to Drop Box on D2L, by midnight December 14 th .

Instructor's Policies for the Course as Appropriate (attendance, late assignments, etc.)

- When meeting on campus: Attend all class meetings. Attendance is mandatory. You are to be here when class begins and to stay until class ends. This is a part of the expected professionalism of the field. In case of an absence, in-class assignments cannot be made up unless prior arrangements have been made with the professor, and class content must be obtained from another class member. Absences will be excused only in an emergency situation. Absences are unexcused unless written documentation is provided. If you must be absent, call or email the instructor **BEFORE** the class. For each unexcused absence, 5% of points will be deducted from the final grade. Students are responsible for all material assigned or covered in class as well as class announcements. Attendance will be recorded.
- When meeting on campus: Tardiness shows a lack of professionalism, as well as a lack of consideration for colleagues. Two tardies will be counted as an unexcused absence, and points will be deducted accordingly.
- Complete <u>all</u> assignments on time. Assignments are due on the due date. Late assignments will receive a letter deduction for each day they are late. Assignments more than 3 days late will not be accepted, and will receive a grade of zero, unless the student <u>and instructor</u> have agreed that extenuating circumstances exist. Technology problems are not an acceptable excuse: Let's face it... technology breaks, servers go down, viruses attack, files become corrupted...the list goes on and on. These are not considered emergencies. They are part of the normal process of working with technology. Any issue you may have with technology is no excuse for late work. Assume technology will fail you. Plan ahead. Manage your time. Back up your work. Any late assignment must be cleared with the instructor at least 24 hours in advance.
- When meeting on campus: Proper classroom etiquette should be practiced in the classroom. *Thus, you should not be texting, talking on your cell phone, emailing, or doing homework during class time. If you are doing any of the aforementioned activities during the class period, you will be marked absent*. Cell phone usage is not permitted during class. To be ready to participate in each class meeting, you will have to complete reading and writing assignments.

Special Accommodations-If you are a student with a documented disability in need of accommodations to fully participate in this class, please contact the Office of Student Disability Services (OSDS). All accommodations must be approved through OSDS. Please stop by Ninth Street Hall, Room 2006, or call 217-581-6583 to make an appointment.

ELE 5600 DIAGNOSIS OF READING PROBLEMS REFERENCES

*Denotes Unit Conceptual Framework References

- Aebersold, J. A., & Field, M. L. (1997). From reader to reading teacher: Issues and strategies for second language classrooms. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Allington, R. & Cunningham, P. (1996). *Schools that work: Where all children read and write*. New York, NY: Harper Collings.
- Alvermann, D. E., & Phelps, S. F. (1998). *Content reading and literacy: Succeeding in today's diverse classrooms* (2nd ed.). Boston: Allvn and Bacon.
- Armbruster, B., Lehr, F., & Osborn, J. (2001). Put reading first: The research building blocks for teaching children to read.
 - Jessup, MD: National Institute for Literacy.
- August, D., & Hakuta, K. (Eds.). (1997). *Improving schooling for language-minority children: A research agenda*. Washington, DC: National Acedmy Press.
- Atwell, N. (1987). In the middle. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Heinemann.
- Barrentine, S.J. & Stokes, S.M. (2005). *Reading assessment: Principles and practices for elementary teachers* (2nd ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Beers, K. (2003). When kids can't read, what teachers can do: A guide for teachers, 6-12. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Bloom, B. S. (1988). Helping all children learn well in elementary school and beyond. *Principal*, 67(4), 12-17.
- *Bloom, B. S. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives. Handbook I: Cognitive Domain.* New York: David McKay Company, Inc.
- Bond, G. L., Tinker, M. A., Wasson, B. B., & Wasson, J. B. (1994). *Reading difficulties: Their diagnosis and correction* (7th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Buhl, D. (2009). Classroom strategies for interactive learning (3nd ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Clay, M. M. (1993). Reading recovery: A guidebook for teachers in training. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M., (1991). Becoming literate: The construction of inner control. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Cooper, J.D. & Kiger, N.D. (2009) Literacy: Helping students construct meaning, (7th ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

- Dole, J. A., Brown, K., & Trathen, W. (1996). The effects of strategy instruction on the comprehension performance of at-risk students. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 31, 62-88.
- Dreher, M. J. & Singer, H. (1985). Parents' attitudes toward reports of standardized reading test results. *The Reading Teacher*, *38*, 624-632.
- Dyson, A. H. (1997). What difference does difference make? Teacher reflections on diversity, literacy, and the urban primary school. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English
- Ekwall, E. E. & Shanker, J. L. (1988). Diagnosis and remediation of the disabled reader. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, Inc.
- Fountas, I. C., & Pinnell, G. S. (1996). *Guided reading: Good first teaching for all students*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Gillet, J. W. & Temple, C. (2000). *Understanding reading problems* (5th ed.). New York: Longman.
- Glazer, S. M. (1998). Assessment IS instruction. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Gunning, T. G. (1998). Assessing and correcting reading and writing difficulties. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Jennings, J. H., Caldwell, J. S., & Lerner, J. W. (2006). *Reading problems: Assessment and teaching strategies* (5th ed.). Boston: Pearson Allyn & Bacon.
- Leslie, L. & Caldwell, J. (2006). Qualitative reading inventory-4. Boston: Pearson.
- Lipson, M. Y. & Wixson, K. K. (1997). Assessment and instruction of reading disability (2nd ed.). New York: Harper-Collins Publishers, Inc.
- McLaughlin, M. & Allen, M. B. (2002). *Guided comprehension: A teaching model for grades 3-8.* Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Moore, R. A. & Gilles, C. (2005). Reading conversations: Retrospective miscue analysis with struggling readers, Grades 4-12. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- National Reading Panel (2000). Report of the National Reading Panel: Teaching children to read---An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction. [Summary].
 - Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.
- Pearson, P. D., & Fielding, L. (1991). Comprehension instruction. In R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 2, pp. 815-860). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- *Piaget, J. (1952). The origins of intelligence in children. New York: International Universities Press.
- Pinnell, G. S. & Fountas, I. C. (1998). Word matters. Portsmouth, NH: Heineman.

- Pressley, M. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A. E. Farstrup & S. Samuels (Eds.), What research has to say about reading instruction (pp. 291-309). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Rasinski, T. V. (2003). The fluent reader: Oral reading strategies for building word recognition, fluency, and comprehension. New York: Scholastic.
- Rubin, D. (1997). Diagnosis and correction in reading (3rd ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Strickland, K. (2005). What's after assessment? Follow-up instruction for phonics, fluency and comprehension.

 Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Taylor, B., Harris, L. A., Pearson, P. D. & Garcia, G. (1995). *Reading difficulties: Instruction and assessment (2nd ed.)*.

 New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- *Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- *Wadsworth, B. J. (1989). *Piaget's theory of cognitive and affective development*. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Walker, B. J. (2005). Techniques for reading assessment and instruction. Columbus, OH: Pearson.
- Wilhelm, J. D., Baker, T. N., & Dube J. (2001). Strategic reading. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Zemelman, S., Daniels, H., & Hyde, A. (2005). *Best practice: Today's standards for teaching and learning in America's schools* (3rd ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Supplemental Instructions for Assignments

Article Review

- 1. Carefully select a total of two recent articles (copyright 2012-present) from scholarly journals pertaining to reading difficulties, diagnosis, assessment, or intervention programs.
- 2. Refer to the rubric provided on D2L. Write at least a two-page (double-spaced) summary The summary should be a well-written, proofed paper representative of graduate-level work.

Computer Project

- 1. Students will use technology to complete a parent education or parent involvement project as related to reading diagnosis and/or instruction. The project may include, but is not limited to:
 - a. handbook/procedures book (4-5 pages) [description of assessments administered, how they are used, why they are administered]
 - b. power point presentation (12-15 slides) [assessment information relevant to parent education]
 - c. informational brochure [description of assessments administered, how they are used, why they are administered]
 - e. presentation handout (3-5 pages) [parent meeting, building or district in-service, or a reading council presentation]
 - f. self-selected project approved by instructor

- 2. Include a cover sheet with a half-page explanation of the project divided into the following headings: Target Audience, Time Frame, Location, and Plan for Project Implementation. Include a minimum of three websites accessed for information or cited in the project.
- 3. The project must be directly related to reading diagnosis and assessment, or writing assessment. The project should be well-developed, appropriate for the target audience, and reflect graduate-level competency.

Test Overview and Presentation

I. Describe the assessment:

Make a concise and logical narrative. Use the following as headings for your overview: Examples will be given in class.

- 1. What is the name of the assessment?
- 2. Is it a formal or informal assessment?
- 3. What does it measure?
- 4. What type of results does it provide?
- 5. For what population is the assessment intended?
- 6. Is it individually or group administered?
- 7. Is it difficult to administer?
- 8. Time consuming?

Test Analysis Packet

- 1. Choose a minimum of eight different assessments to administer (after explanation in class or individual explanation by the instructor.)
- 2. An Informal Reading Inventory is a **required** assessment. It consists of multiple components—graded word list, oral reading, silent reading, and listening comprehension—but all components compile the one assessment and must be administered.
- 3. Other assessments with multiple components such as the Observation Survey of Early Literacy or 3-Minute Assessment will also need all components administered to count as one assessment.
- 4. Three of the testing instruments must be norm-referenced. (Note: If the Garfield Attitude Survey is given, it does not count toward the three norm referenced tests.)
- 5. Find an age appropriate subject for each assessment. It is not necessary or advantageous to have the same subject do all of the tests.
- 6. The purpose of this assignment is to provide you with practice in administering, scoring, and interpreting a variety of reading assessments. Professional ethics must be exercised at all times. Assessments should be given according to the instructions in an environment conducive to test taking and free from distractions. All materials and results should be restricted for use in this course. Do not share detailed results of the testing or scoring with parents or other individuals.
- 7. Choose test instruments from the following selections. Not every category needs to be represented. You may also choose assessments from your textbook.

Informal Reading Inventories—required (includes multiple components for one assessment)

o Roe & Burns Informal Reading Inventory

General Ability Tests

o Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test III (receptive vocabulary)

Early Literacy

- Observation Survey of Early Literacy
- Wepman Test of Auditory Discrimination
- o Yopp-Singer

Sight Word Tests

Dolch Basic Sight Word Test

Word Analysis Skills

- o Early Names Test
- Names Test

Comprehension Skills

o Gates-MacGinitie

Reading Fluency

o 3-Minute Assessment

Survey Tests

- o Gates-MacGinitie
- o GRADE

Spelling Tests

- o Bader
- 8. All materials should be signed out from the instructor in the Reading Center. Do not give another student the materials you have checked out. Scoring sheets are available for the standardized tests. Respect all copyright laws.
- 9. Use the summary cover sheet outlined below to concisely analyze the information obtained during testing. Submit all data/scoring sheets or other relevant testing materials attached to the summary cover sheet for each of the required assessments. There are examples on the web page listed above (see top of page 2).

Summary Cover Sheet for Informal and Formal Assessments

Examiner's Name: Examinee's Name: Date: Age: Grade:

Name of Assessment:

- Level and form
- **❖** Author(s)
- Copyright date (if standardized test)

Description of Assessment:

Purpose

Description of Student's behaviors (general observations):

Results of Assessment (report in list, graph or table format, if possible):

Miscalled letters or words are represented as follows: miscalled letter or word/correct word *For example*: g/j b/d i/l when/went I'll/I will run/ran

Interpretation of Results (report in paragraph form— What is examinee attending to, what is examinee neglecting? What is examinee able to do, what is examinee unable to do? Give specific examples in the interpretation.)