Content Outreach Reflection Evaluation

#### Eastern Illinois University Early Childhood/Elementary/Middle Level Education Department ELE/MLE 4100 Assessing Student Learning: A Field Based Experience

Instructor: Dr. Dawn Paulson Office: 2217 Buzzard Hall Email: dmpaulson@eiu.edu Office Hours: Mon 11:15am-12:15pm, Tues 9:45am - 11:45pm, Wed 11:15am-12:15pm Phone: 217-581-5728 (Messages Only) Cell Phone: 217-254-6707 (call or text before 10:00pm) Class Meetings: Room: 1441 Buzzard Hall Time: 10:00am-11:15am Final Exam: Tuesday, December 13 from 10:15am-12:15pm

Semester: Fall 2016

## Friday Mandatory Seminars in Buzzard Hall

Seminar #1 August 26 from 9:30am-11:30am Buzzard Auditorium Seminar #2 September 2 from 9:00am-11:00am Buzzard Auditorium Seminar #3 September 9 from 9:00am-11:00am Buzzard Auditorium

## **Friday Practicum Dates:**

September 23, 2016 September 30, 2016 October 7, 2016 October 21, 2016

Practicum: Begins Monday October 24th and ends Friday November 18

## No School:

Monday September 5, Labor Day Friday October 14, EIU Fall Break Monday-Friday November 21-25 EIU Thanksgiving Break

Unit Theme: Educators as Creators of Effective Educational Environments: Integrating diverse students, subjects, strategies, societies and technologies.

**Course Description**: This course emphasizes monitoring children's learning through data-driven assessment in order to meet the needs of diverse learners. Topics will include effective classroom management and developing positive teaching dispositions in order to become a reflective practitioner. This course includes class meeting times and supervised field experiences (minimum 60 hours). (2-3-3)

**Prerequisites**: Elementary General option: ELE 3100, 3280, 3340, 3350; Early Childhood: ELE 3250, 3281, 4775; or Middle Level: MLE 40001, 3110, 4760. University Teacher Education requirements apply and department requirements for enrollment must be met.

#### **Course Purpose:**

This course emphasizes monitoring children's learning through data-driven assessment in order to meet the needs of diverse learners. Through implementation and reflection the teacher candidate will examine the effective cycle of teaching: planning, instruction and assessment that fosters a positive learning environment. This course includes class meeting times and supervised field experiences (minimum of 60 hours). A student must maintain a "C" average in all course work to be placed/continue in practicum.

Admission to student teaching depends upon successful completion of ELE/MLE 4100, a positive recommendation of the cooperating classroom teacher with whom the teacher candidate participates for 60+ clinical hours, and along with the instructor's referral to continue in the teacher education program.

#### **Course Textbooks**:

Russell, M. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). Classroom assessment: Concepts and applications, 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.

Taggart, G.L. & Wilson, A.P. (2005). Promoting reflective thinking in teachers: 50 action strategies, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

#### **Supplemental Materials:**

- Personal LiveText account ٠
- Binder for course notebook •
- Professional Development Requirements (PDR) sheet .

## **Teaching Model:**

#### The Information-Processing Models

- Information-processing models emphasize ways of enhancing the human being's innate drive to make sense of the world by acquiring and organizing data, sensing problems and generating solutions to them, and developing concepts and language for conveying them (pp 10-13).
- Social Models: When we work together, we generate a collective energy called *synergy*. The social models of teaching are constructed to take advantage of this phenomenon by building learning communities. Essentially, classroom management is a matter of developing cooperative relationships in the classroom. The development of positive school cultures is a process of developing integrative and productive ways of interacting and norms that support vigorous learning activity. (pp. 13-15)
  - Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2015). Models of teaching (9th ed.). Boston: Pearson.

Dispositions: Teacher candidates in the Department of EC/ELE/MLE will exhibit professional ethical practices, effective communication, sensitivity to diversity, and the ability to provide varied teaching practices evidenced in a supportive and encouraging environment.

Contract of Professional Responsibilities: Through course work and field experiences you will acquire the knowledge, skills, and behaviors that will help you grow into a dedicated practitioner who provides high quality learning experiences. Field experience placements are offered to teacher candidates that exhibit professional dispositions and a commitment to teaching and learning. As part of the C.O.R.E. requirements you are to read, sign, and agree to a contract of professional responsibilities. Failure to fulfill these expectations and responsibilities will result in a cancellation of your field experience placement and if applicable your withdrawal from this course.

Live Text Assessment and/or Practicum Requirements: For those classes with Live Text and/or Practicum- If the portfolio, practicum, and/or Live Text requirements are rated by the instructor to have been completed in less than a satisfactory manner then no more than a "D" may be earned in the class regardless of the number of points earned.

#### Standards:

Course requirements and demonstrated competencies are aligned with the following standards:

- Illinois Professional Teaching Standards (IPTS): http://www.isbe.net/PEAC/pdf/IL prof teaching stds.pdf
- Eastern Illinois University Professional Dispositions http://www.eiu.edu/clinical/forms/DispositionsforEIUcandidates.pdf
- Illinois Social Emotional Learning Standards (SEL) http://www.isbe.net/ils/social\_emotional/standards.htm
- Association for Childhood Education International (ACEI): http://www.isbe.net/rules/archive/pdfs/20ark.pdf
- National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC): http://www.ncate.org/Standards/ProgramStandardsandReportForms/tabid/676/Default.aspx
- Association for Middle Level Education: http://www.amle.org/AboutAMLE/ProfessionalPreparation/AMLEStandards.aspx

## **Outcomes Specific to ELE/MLE 4100:**

- Analyze and apply assessment data to inform instruction, including learning about key elements of the scientific basis of teaching and the impact principles of child development (cognitive, physical and socio-emotional) have on individual student learning.
- Identify the instructional and behavioral needs of all learners and apply the Multi-Tiered System of Support Framework utilizing a variety of approaches and classroom-based instructional and management intervention strategies through differentiation, material selection, and lesson pace.
- Apply and assess NILS (New Illinois Learning Standards)/CCSS (Common Core State Standards) in the design of lesson plans and units .
- Through observation and interpretation, teacher candidate implements a central focus guided by NILS/CCSS and using best practice techniques to provide an effective classroom environment to support the success of individual pupils.
- Analyze lesson plans and student work samples as a means to reflect on practice to improve instruction through data-driven decision making to meet NILS/CCSS.
- Analyze and reflect on dispositions, both positive and negative in the teacher candidate's practicum assignment.
- Analyze the relationship between school, home and community so as to gain an understanding of the need for collaboration within the larger learning community.

Course Core Requirements	Demonstrated Competencies	Aligned Standards
Participation	Performance includes presence and contribution during class meetings and support of peers. Performance includes preparation for class discussions based on course readings and related written assignments. Focus is on responsible, enthusiastic, and effective communication and collaboration with university supervisor, classroom teacher, children, and peers.	ACEI: 5.1 IPTS: 9I, 9P, 9T NAEYC: 6c SEL – 1C.5b, 2B.5a, 2C.5a, 3A.5b
Classroom & Community Environment Report	Performance includes recognizing schools as organizations within the larger community context. Teacher candidates will analyze the impact of cultural and social contexts in understanding the school environment.	ACEI: 3.1, 5.2 IPTS: 1G, 1L, 4L, 8A, 9L NAEYC: 1a, 1c, 2a
Classroom Assessment Survey	Performance includes a description of the formative and summative assessment tools used by the classroom teacher for monitoring student growth, including classroom, district, and PARCC assessments. The teacher candidate will to complete a survey explaining the teacher's use of data for monitoring student progress and planning instruction. In addition, the report includes a description of how MTSS/RTI is implemented in their practicum placement grade level.	ACEI: 4.0 IPTS: 2P, 2Q, 3D, 3G, 5G, 7B, 7H NAEYC: 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d
Learning Segment Planning Commentary	Performance demonstrates understanding of the Teaching Cycle: Planning, Implementation, and Assessment (edTPA and the Danielson Framework). Planning demonstrates knowledge of how to apply varied instructional strategies to meet the NILS/CCSS and address diverse learning needs. Implementation provides opportunities for guided practice. Assessment includes creation of a pre-assessment and post-assessment for learning segment lessons taught. Teacher candidates will keep and utilize records based on diagnostic assessment(s) of students. Teacher candidates will use this data to analyze student growth.	ACEI: 1.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 IPTS: 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1L, 1K, 2I, 2J, 2K, 2M, 2N, 2P, 2Q, 3C, 3F, 3J, 3K, 3M, 3P, 3Q, 4K, 4M, 4N, 5R, 5S, 7K, 8K, 8N, 8O NAEYC: 1b, 3c, 4b, 4c, 4d, 5a, 5b, 5c, 6b
Learning Segment Reflective Video Analysis	Performance includes reflecting on professional practice and resulting outcomes. Teacher candidates will engage in self-assessment. Questioning is a skill that all teachers need to develop to foster critical thinking and conceptual understanding. The teacher candidate will analyze a video clip to assess the effectiveness of his/her questioning as a formative assessment tool.	ACEI: 3.1, 4.0, 5.1 IPTS: 1F, 2K, 2M, 2M, 3M, 5G, 5L, 5M, 5R, 5S, 6P, 9I, 9K NAEYC: 4d
Learning Segment Assessment Analysis & Commentary	Performance includes analysis of student work to show students met the lesson objectives based on the NILS/CCSS. Using selected assessments (artifacts) teacher candidates will identify quantitative and qualitative patterns of learning within and across learners in the class. Teacher candidate will provides a graphic (table or chart) and narrative summary of student learning for the whole class and the focus students.	ACEI: 4 IPTS: 1H, 3D, 3G, 5G, 7B, 9J NAEYC: 3a, 3c, 4b, 4d
Field Experience III Portfolio (Practicum Notebook) and Documentation	Documentation includes evidence of performance as directed by the university supervisor and the classroom teacher. Dispositions of inquiry, serious effort, professional demeanor and dedication to excellence will be expected. Documentation includes evidence of following the teaching cycle: planning, implementation, reflection, and assessment. Daily lesson plans provide the evidence for implementation of the teaching cycle.	ACEI: 5.1 IPTS: 5J, 9H, 9T NAEYC: 7a, 7b
Teaching Evaluation	Teacher candidates will engage in self-evaluation as directed by the university supervisor. Teacher candidates will submit completed and signed evaluation forms from the cooperating teacher. (Practicum Rubric,	ACEI: 5.1 IPTS: 9K NAEYC: 7a, 7b

	Dispositions Rubric, Log, Classroom Observation Form). Documentation also includes all appropriate LiveText submissions. Failure to adequately meet dispositional requirements will be remanded for remediation. Failure to pass the practicum portion of the course will require the teacher candidate to repeat the course.	
<ul> <li>Professional Development</li> <li>Departmental Portfolio (LiveText)</li> <li>Professional Development Requirement (PDR Sheet)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The teacher candidate will continue to meet the nine Illinois Professional Teaching Standards (IPTS). The teacher candidate will include a comprehensive written commentary justifying inclusion of each of the artifacts. The written commentary will use academic language and contain detailed evidence of how the teacher candidate has met the standard.</li> <li>The teacher candidate will seek <del>out</del> opportunities for professional development to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skill.</li> </ul>	ACEI: 5.1 IPTS: 9D, 9H, 9I, 9O, 9P NAEYC: 6a, 6b, 6c,
Exam	Tests will be provided as one form of assessment of teacher candidate's content knowledge related to planning and teaching. Focus is on demonstrating understanding of course content knowledge.	ACEI: 2.2 IPTS: 2A - 2F NAEYC: 1a, 1b, 4b Dispositions: PEP
Instructor Selected Assignments	<ul> <li>These assignments may include:</li> <li>Creating a teacher made test</li> <li>Creating a scoring rubric</li> <li>Graphic Organizers</li> <li>Asking Essential Questions</li> <li>Classroom Management</li> <li>Etc.</li> </ul>	Varied

Course Core Assignments	Brief Description	*Points/Due Date (Weight)
Participation	Contributions to discussions and activities (both in class and electronic), responsibility for group work, responsibility for all aspects of the in-school practicum are required. Performance includes preparation for class discussions based on course readings and related written assignments.	Ongoing 40 points
Classroom & Community Environment Report	Analyze and report on the field assignment classroom and community learning environment. Complete the edTPA template for Context for Learning. The resources for this assignment may include the Illinois School Report Card, School Improvement Plan, Multi-Tiered System Support, Classroom Environment Rubric, Interviews (teacher/principal), etc.	30 points (See Calendar)
Classroom Assessment <del>Report</del> Survey	Classroom Assessment Assignment: Teacher Candidate will review classroom assessment tools used in their field placement. The teacher candidate will complete a survey explaining the teacher's use of data (classroom, district, and PARCC) for monitoring student progress and planning instruction. In addition, include a description of how MTSS/RtI is implemented in their practicum placement grade level.	30 points (See Calendar)
Part A: Learning Segment Planning Commentary	Plan and implement a learning segment of 3-5 lessons within a content area based on the needs of the students in the classroom (MTSS/RtI, ELL, Socio-Emotional, Common Core Standards, etc.). Develop or use pre-assessment and post-	125 points (See Calendar)

Revised 6/2016

	assessment. Using edTPA Rubrics analyze instruction and use student work samples to provide evidence of student learning.	
Part B: Reflective Video Analysis of Teaching	Videotape at least one lesson selected from the Learning Segment in the field placement classroom. Using edTPA Rubrics the taped segment and student work samples to identify patterns of learning and write an assessment commentary.	
Part C: Assessment Analysis, Commentary,	Analyze student work from the selected assessments and instruction to identify quantitative and qualitative patterns of learning within and across learners in the class. Provide a graphic (table or chart) and narrative summary of student learning for the whole class and the focus students. Based on the analysis of the student samples, identify misunderstandings and/or misconceptions that all or some of the students have about the content.	
Field Experience III Portfolio (Practicum Notebook) and Documentation	<ul> <li>Performance includes fulfillment of instructor's guidelines which may require</li> <li>course syllabus and calendar</li> <li>cooperating teacher's feedback forms (observations notes)</li> <li>cooperating teacher's weekly evaluation forms</li> <li>daily lesson plans following the departmental lesson plan addressing the NILS/CCSS (includes evidence of planningresearch and development of teaching materials, reference lists of books and websites incorporated into planning)</li> <li>weekly lesson reflections</li> <li>weekly practicum reflections</li> <li>The original signed copies of the log of practicum hours</li> <li>LiveText uploads of the observed lesson plan.</li> </ul>	Due: Weekly during field experience (See Calendar) 100 points
Teaching Evaluation	The Dispositions Rubric and C.O.R.E. III Practicum Rubric as completed and signed by the cooperating teacher must be submitted. <u>These documents will not be returned to the student.</u>	Due: Week 14 (See Calendar)
Professional Development Departmental Portfolio (LiveText) Professional Development	<ul> <li>The teacher candidate will continue to meet the nine Illinois Professional Teaching Standards (IPTS) and the Danielson Framework. The completed portfolio must have at least one artifact for each of the nine standards. The teacher candidate will select a minimum of six <b>new</b> artifacts to include in his/her professional portfolio from course work completed in CORE III that demonstrates growth in meeting the IPTS standards. The teacher candidate must include an additional artifact for the following standards: Standard 5-Instructional Delivery, Standard 7-Assessment, and Standard 9: Professionalism, Leadership, and Advocacy.</li> <li>The teacher candidate will complete the professional development</li> </ul>	Due: Week 16 (See Calendar)
Requirement (PDR Sheet)	requirements by presenting authentic documentation.	
Final Exam	A final exam covering the content presented in this course will be given.	50 points (See Calendar)
Instructor Selected Assignments	These assignments may include: Creating a teacher made test Creating a scoring rubric Graphic Organizers Asking Essential Questions Classroom Management Etc.	TBA (See Calendar)

**Reading Assignments & Discussion Questions** – It is the teacher candidate's responsibility to keep up with course reading assignments and to come to class prepared to discuss the information contained in the assigned reading and be able to apply this to the information presented in class.

## Instructor's Policies for the Course as Appropriate (attendance, late assignments, etc.):

Grading Scale: A 100-93%, B 92%-84%, C 83%-75%, D 74%-66%, F 65% and below

**A. Participation:** Class attendance and participation are expected in the course. Participation includes the following: being in class on time (3-5 minutes early), looking and actively listening to those who are speaking, working cooperatively with group members, being prepared for class, and being actively involved in activities and discussions. No electronic devices are allowed during class time. This means that your cell phones are turned off or silenced and put away. Also no computers allowed during class time unless requested by instructor. If an emergency arises and you are unable to attend class, please notify the instructor by leaving a message via e-mail. Five points will be deducted for each class absence.

**B.** Preparation for class discussions, assignments, and activities –Students are expected to demonstrate their understanding of assigned readings and homework tasks through class discussions, written examinations, collaborative class projects, and in-class presentations. Standard college preparation for courses requires a minimum of 2 hours of work outside of class for each credit hour. Please keep this in mind when planning your course schedule and outside commitments.

**C. Course Assignments and Expectations:** All assignments must be turned in by the due date, unless approved by instructor. Ten percent (10%) will be deducted for each day an assignment is late. **NO course work will be accepted during finals week.** All assignments must be completed in an *exemplary* fashion in order to earn an **A** grade. **Assignments, points, and due dates are subject to change.** *The instructor will provide detailed instructions and expectations for each assignment.* 

**D. Examinations:** A final exam will be administered to assess student understanding of course content. It will be given during the scheduled time for finals. The final exam will include information learned from text assignments, class activities, lectures and audio-visual materials used.

**E. Final Grade:** Your final grade will be determined by adding all points earned on all assignments. Absences from class will lower the final grade. Failure to complete Live Text submissions, and/or the clinical hours will result in a grade of no higher than a D for the course.

**Cell Phone Policy for Exams/Finals:** All cell phones much be put away (out of sight) and turned to the off positions. Any phones that are visible during a midterm or final exam or any phones that ring or vibrate will result in a failing grade for the exam.

\*Assignments and due dates are tentative and subject to change. *The instructor will provide detailed instructions and expectations for each assignment*.

**Extra Credit:** Extra points can be earned by attending professional development opportunities arranged by the instructor. Reflective writing will be incorporated. Your instructor will provide further information concerning extra credit opportunities.

# Course Assignments (475 Points Total)

- 1. **Participation:** Class sessions involve interactive activities and presentation and discussion of material that is difficult to make up if absent, so regular attendance is beneficial. Being prepared for class and being actively involved in cooperative learning groups and discussions is a part of your grade. Group work completed in class will periodically be collected and assessed. These assignments will range in value from **three-five** points. (**40 points**)
- 2. Chapter Assignment/Creating a Teacher Made Test: (15 points)
- 3. Chapter Assignment/Creating a Teacher Made Rubric: (15 points)
- 4. Reading Assignments (15 points)
- 5. Mini-peer teaching activity: This assignment will be based on the use of effective questioning. (30 points)
- Classroom & Community Environment Report: Assess the classroom and community environment using the rubric presented in class. Use evidence from several resources to complete your written report. (30 points)
- 7. Classroom Assessment Report: Complete a survey by asking your cooperating teachers about the types of assessments he/she uses to monitor student progress. Write a summary reporting on the assessment strategies/practices, progress monitoring, and connect this information to assessment best practices. (30 points)
- 8. Field Experience III Portfolio (Practicum Requirements): Lesson plans, evidence of planning, lesson reflections, and weekly field experience reflections will be submitted weekly through LiveText. Practicum documentation will be collected and some items will be turned in weekly and some turned in at the end of the practicum. (100 points)
- 9. Formal Lesson Plan (15 points)
- 10. Conference with Dr. Paulson including self-reflection handout of formal lesson (10 points)
- **11. EdTPA Learning Segment Commentary & Video Analysis:** Plan and implement learning segment of 3-5 lessons and reengagement, video-tape one lesson, and write a reflective commentary following the assignment guidelines. **(125 points)**
- 12. Final Exam: (50 points)
- **13. Teaching Evaluation Forms:** The Dispositions Rubric and C.O.R.E. III Practicum Rubric as completed and signed by the cooperating teacher must be submitted. (**Pass/Fail**)
- 14. Professional Development Requirements: Completion of Department Portfolio depicting that the teacher candidate has met all nine Illinois Professional Teaching Standards and completion of the Professional Development Requirements. (Pass/Fail)
- **15. LiveText Submissions:** The following must be submitted to Live Text in order to receive a passing grade—Lesson Plan from formal observation and self-evaluation. (**Pass/Fail**)

## **ELE/MLE 4100 COURSE OUTLINE**

Weeks	Topics	Readings
Week 1	A. What is Assessment ?	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). Classroom assessment:
	✤ Assessment Terminology: measurement, evaluation,	Concepts and applications, 7th ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 1
	informal/formal, standardized testing, norm- referenced/criterion-referenced, validity/reliability, and formative/summative assessment	edTPA: Elementary Literacy Handbook (LiveText)
	101 mative/summative assessment	The Danielson Group—The Framework
	B. The Role of Assessment in the Teaching/Learning	https://danielsongroup.org/framework/
	Cycle	Dispositions for Educators
	edTPA	http://www.eiu.edu/clinical/dispositions.php
	<ul> <li>Planning for Instruction and Assessment</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Instructing and Engaging Students in Learning</li> <li>Assessing Student Learning</li> </ul>	
	The Danielson Framework	
	<ul> <li>Domain1: Planning and Preparation</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Domain 2: The Classroom Environment</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Domain 3: Instruction</li> <li>Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities</li> </ul>	
	C. Dispositions	
Week 2	Gathering Data about Students	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). <i>Classroom assessment:</i> <i>Concepts and applications</i> , 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 2
	Early Assessment—Multiple resources	
	<ul> <li>Interest inventories, surveys, interviews, observations, self-assessments, student writing, student conversations,</li> </ul>	Context for Learning Template (LiveText)
	<ul><li>etc.</li><li>Context for Learning (edTPA)</li></ul>	
Week 3	Classroom, School & Community Environments	Article: Roskos, K. & Neuman, S. B. (2011). The classroom
	<ul> <li>Classroom/School Organization</li> </ul>	environment: First, last, and always. <i>The Reading Teacher</i> , 65(2), 110-114.
	<ul> <li>Classroom/School Safety</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Parent &amp; Family Engagement</li> </ul>	Handout: Welcome to Family Friendly Schools (Washoe County
	Classroom Management	School District)
Week 4	Data-based Decision Making	Materials: Assessment Data from area Schools
	<ul> <li>State, District, School, Classroom Assessments: Screening and Progress-monitoring</li> </ul>	Data Literacy for Teachers by Nancy Love
	<ul> <li>Instruction and Behavior: Formative, Benchmark, Summative</li> </ul>	Article: Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). The bridge between today's lesson and tomorrow's. <i>Educational Leadership</i> 71(6), 10-14.
	Practicum Requirements	
Week 5	Data Literacy—Observe, analyze, and respond to a variety	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). Classroom assessment:
and Week 6	of assessment data to continuously improve teaching and learning.	Concepts and applications, 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 4.
	<ul> <li>Formative Assessment: Before, During, &amp; After Instruction</li> </ul>	Article: Duckor, B. Formative assessment in seven good moves. <i>Educational Leadership (71)</i> 6, 28-32.
	<ul> <li>Authentic/Embedded Assessment</li> </ul>	Abell, S. K. & Volkmann, M. J. (2006). Seamless Assessment in
	The Role of Feedback in Formative Assessment: Types	Science: A Guide for Elementary and Middle School Teachers.
	of Feedback; Written Feedback; Oral Feedback; and	Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann. Chapter 3.
	Students Use of Feedback	
		Article: Hattie, J. (2012). Know thy impact. <i>Educational</i> <i>Leadership</i> 70(1), 18-23.
Week 7	The Role of Questioning in Formative Assessment	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). <i>Classroom assessment:</i> <i>Concepts and applications</i> , 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 4,
	<ul> <li>Bloom's Revised Taxonomy</li> </ul>	page 108-116.
	<ul> <li>Essential Questions</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Open-ended/Closed</li> <li>Using guestioning prompts</li> </ul>	McTighe, J. & Wiggins, G. (2013). Essential questions: Opening
Waal- 9	<ul> <li>Using questioning prompts</li> <li>Planning Instruction &amp; Aggggments (adTDA)</li> </ul>	doors to student understanding. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
Week 8	Planning Instruction & Assessments (edTPA)	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). <i>Classroom assessment:</i> <i>Concepts and applications</i> , 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 3.

[]		
	<ul> <li>Understanding by Design/Backward Design</li> <li>Selecting learning code backward on NIL S/COSS store deads</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Selecting learning goals based on NILS/CCSS standards</li> <li>KUDa K Knowledge U foundational Understanding</li> </ul>	Understanding the Understands in KUDs by Jennifer N. Kumpost
	✤ KUDs: K-Knowledge, U-foundational Understanding	http://differentiationcentral.com/examples/UnderstandingKUDs.p
	[Big idea(s)], and S-Skills (basic skills, critical-thinking	df
	<ul> <li>skills, and skills of a discipline)</li> <li>Writing measurable instructional objectives to address</li> </ul>	Handaut The ADCD Medal for Whiting Objections
	goals/standards and meet student needs	Handout: The ABCD Model for Writing Objectives
	<ul> <li>Designing assessments that evaluate student learning in</li> </ul>	edTPA Handbook: The Literacy Handbook (LiveText)
	relation to instructional objectives	NILS/CCSS
	<ul> <li>Designing instruction to meet student needs (Context for</li> </ul>	NILS/CCSS
	Learning)	Departmental Lesson Plan
	<ul> <li>Analyzing pre/post assessment data</li> </ul>	Departmental Lesson Fian
	<ul> <li>Identifying patterns of learning across the</li> </ul>	
	class population	
	<ul> <li>Analyzing data for individuals</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Designing reengagement instruction</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Planning the Learning Segment</li> </ul>	
Week 9	Meeting Individual Student Needs: Using the Context for	RtI-Action Network: Include Essential Components
	Learning to Plan Assessment/Instruction	http://www.rtinetwork.org/essential
	<ul> <li>MTSS/RTI (Multi-tiered System of Supports/Response</li> </ul>	Illinois SPDG-Understanding MTSS/RtI
	to Intervention/Instruction)	http://www.illinoisrti.org/i-rti-network/for-
	<ul> <li>ELL (English Language Learners</li> </ul>	educators/understanding-rti-mtss
	<ul> <li>SEL (Social/Emotional Learning)</li> </ul>	
Week 10	Differentiating Instruction & Assessment	Differentiation Central
		http://differentiationcentral.com/
	<ul> <li>Principles of Differentiation: positive learning</li> </ul>	
	environment, quality curriculum, ongoing assessment,	Tomlinson, C. A. & Moon, T. R. (2013). Assessment and student
	and respectful tasks (instruction)	success in a differentiated classroom. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
	<ul> <li>Teachers can Differentiate: content, process, product,</li> </ul>	Chapter 1 excerpt.
	affect/environment	http://www.ascd.org/publications/books/108028.aspx
	<ul> <li>Differentiate Based on Student: readiness, interests,</li> </ul>	
	learning profile	
	<ul> <li>Using a Variety of Instructional Strategies</li> </ul>	
Week 11	A. Developing Pre-assessments useful in gathering	Tomlinson, C. A. & Moon, T. R. (2013). Assessment and student
	evidence about, to develop appropriate	success in a differentiated classroom. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
	instructional plans and sequencing.	Chapter 3, Pre-assessment: Knowing Where Students Are as a
		Unit Begins.
	<ul> <li>Student readiness</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Student interest relative to content</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Student learning profile</li> </ul>	
	B. Formats for Pre-assessments	
	A Indination Informal Strate for Due	
	<ul> <li>Indirect or Informal Strategies for Pre-assessment: Hand</li> <li>Signals KWI Charts (Class Level Organizations)</li> </ul>	
	Signals, KWL Charts/Class Level Organizations,	
	Response Cards, and Informal Conversation/Observations, etc.	
	<ul> <li>Formal Strategies: Graphic Organizers, Frayer</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Formal Strategies: Graphic Organizers, Frayer</li> <li>Diagrams, Systematic Observations/Interviews, Journal</li> </ul>	
	Entries/Writing Prompts, Student Self-Rating (Self-	
	Assessment), Quizzes, Interest Surveys, etc.	
	Assossment, Quilles, interest builteys, etc.	
	C. Comparing Pre-assessments/Post Assessments	
	<ul> <li>Record Keeping</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Record Recepting</li> <li>Patterns of Learning</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Focus Group</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>✤ Reengagement</li> </ul>	
Week 12	Performance Based Assessments	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). <i>Classroom assessment:</i> <i>Concepts and applications</i> , 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 8.
	<ul> <li>Used to determine student learning in performance-</li> </ul>	
	based areas such as: communication skills, psychomotor	
	skills, athletic activities, concept acquisition, the arts,	
	etc.	

	<ul> <li>Performance criteria requires breaking down the</li> </ul>	
	performance or product into its component parts and	
	creating a scoring aid.	
	<ul> <li>Performance assessments may be scored and</li> </ul>	
	summarized quantitatively and/or qualitatively.	
	summarized quantitatively and/or quantatively.	
	Assessment Toolbox (Scoring Aids	
	✤ Checklists	
	<ul> <li>Rating Scale</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Diagnostic/Holistic Rubrics</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Writing Prompts</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Portfolios</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Peer/Self Evaluation</li> </ul>	
	✤ Etc.	
Week 13	Summative Assessments	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). <i>Classroom assessment:</i>
		Concepts and applications, 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 5.
	<ul> <li>Allow teachers to make decisions about the extent to</li> </ul>	
	which students have achieved the objectives that were	
	the focus of instruction.	
	<ul> <li>Formal, systematically gathered, end of instruction</li> </ul>	
	evidence.	
	<ul> <li>Used for assigning grades, recommending students for</li> </ul>	
	promotion, placing students in groups, and referring	
	students for education services.	
	<ul> <li>Features of effective summative assessments: 1)</li> </ul>	
	,	
	students are expected to show mastery of objectives, 2)	
	the questions on the assessment are a representative	
	sample of what was taught, 3) questions, directions, and	
	scoring procedures are clear and appropriate.	
	Grading	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). Classroom assessment:
		Concepts and applications, 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 9.
	What is the purpose for grading?	
	<ul> <li>Effective Grading Practices: Chosen grading system</li> </ul>	Article: Marzano, R. J. & Heflebower, T. (2011). Grades that
	with grading purpose; grades reflect various assignments	show what students know. Educational Leadership 69(3), 34-39.
	and are collected throughout grading period; students are	I (F)
	informed about the grading system; grading system	Article: Fisher, D., Frey, N., & Pumpian, I. (2011). No penalties
	separates academic and non-academic performance;	for practice. <i>Educational Leadership</i> 69(3), 46-51.
		101 practice. Educational Leadership 09(3), 40-31.
	grades are based on valid and reliable assessment	
	evidence; and the grading system is applied consistently	
	for all students.	
	<ul> <li>Progress is communicated with students/parents in a</li> </ul>	
	variety of forms	
Week 14	Universal Test Design	Russell, M. K. & Airasian, P. W. (2012). Classroom assessment:
		<i>Concepts and applications, 7th ed.</i> NY: McGraw-Hill. Chapter 6.
	✤ Assemble & Administer Tests (validity & reliability)	
	<ul> <li>Measuring essential learning and achievement</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Apply principles of universal design for assessment</li> </ul>	
	adaptations	
Week 15	Professionalism, Ethics and Reflection	
	<ul> <li>IPTS Standards</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Danielson Framework</li> </ul>	
Week 16	Portfolio Evaluation	
cademic In	staanit	

## Academic Integrity

"The Department of EC/ELE/MLE is committed to the learning process and academic integrity as defined within the Student Conduct Code Standard I. "Eastern students observe the highest principles of academic integrity and support a campus environment conducive to scholarship." Students are expected to develop original and authentic work for assignments submitted in this course. "Conduct in subversion of academic standards, such as cheating on examinations, plagiarism, collusion, misrepresentation or falsification of data" or "submitting work previously presented in another course unless specifically permitted by the instructor" are considered violations of this standard."

#### Student Success Center

Students who are having difficulty achieving their academic goals are encouraged to first contact their instructor. If needing additional help, please contact the Student Success Center (<u>www.eiu.edu/~success</u>) for assistance with time management, test taking, note taking, avoiding procrastination, setting goals, and other skills to support academic achievement. The Student Success Center provides individualized consultations. To make an appointment, call <u>217-581-6696</u>, or go to 9<sup>th</sup> Street Hall, Room 1302.

#### ELE 4100 References \*Denotes Unit Conceptual Framework References

- Allington, R. L. & Walmsley, S. A. (2007). No quick fix: Rethinking literacy programs in America's Elementary School, The RTI edition. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Allington, R. L. (2012). What really matters for struggling readers: Designing research-based programs. Boston: Pearson.
- Allington, R. L. (2012). What really matters in response to intervention: Research-based programs. Boston: Pearson.
- Allyn, P. (2013). Be core ready: Powerful effective steps to implementing and achieving the common core state standards. Boston: Pearson.
- Brown-Chidsey, R. & Bickford, R. (2016). Practical handbook of multi-tiered systems of support: Building academic and behavioral success in schools. NY: Guilford Press.
- Calkins, L., Ehrenworth, M. & Lehman, C. (2012). Pathways to the common core: Accelerating achievement. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- \*Bloom, B. S., et al. (1956). The taxonomy of educational objectives; Handbook I: Cognitive domain. New York : McKay.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2008). *How to give effective feedback to your students*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Brooks, J. G. & Brooks, M. (2002). *The case for constructivist classrooms* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Burns, M. (2000). About teaching mathematics: A K-8 resource (2nd ed.). Sausalito, CA: Math Solutions Publications.
- Caldwell, J. S. (2008). Reading assessment: A primer for teachers and coaches, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Caldwell, J. S. & Leslie, L. (2013). Intervention strategies to follow informal reading inventory assessment: So what do I do now? Boston: Pearson.
- Chapin, S. H. & Johnson, A. (2006). *Math matters, grades K-6: Understanding the math you teach* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Sausalito, CA: Math Solutions Publications.
- Cummings, C. (2000). Winning strategies for classroom management. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Dean, C. B., Hubbell, E. R., Pitler, H. & Stone, B. (2012). Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement.
- Earl, L. M. (2003). Assessment as learning: Using classroom assessment to maximize student learning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc.
- \*Glasser, W. (1993). The quality school teacher: A companion volume to the quality school. New York: Harper Collins.
- \*Good, T. L., & Brophy, J. E. (1997). Looking in classrooms. New York: Longman
- Hein, G. & Price, S. (1994). Active assessment for active science, a guide for elementary school teachers. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Hunley, S. & McNamara, K. M. (2010). *Tier 3 of the RTI model: Problem solving through a case study approach.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc.
- \*Hunter, M. (1982). Mastery learning. El Segundo, CA: TIP Publications.
- Kohn, A. (1996). Beyond discipline: From compliance to community. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Marzano, R. J. (2007). *The art and science of teaching: A comprehensive framework for effective instruction*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

- Marzano, R. J. Marzano, J. S., & Pickering, D. J. (2003). *Classroom management that works: Research-based strategies for every teacher*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Marzano, R. J., Pickering, D. J., & Pollock, J. E. (2001). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Miller, P. H. (1993). Theories of developmental psychology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Owocki, G. (2010). The RTI daily planning book: K-6. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Popham, W. J. (2008). Transformative assessment. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Schmoker, M. (2001). The results fieldbook: Practical strategies from dramatically improved schools. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- \*Slavin, R. (2003). Educational psychology: Theory and practice, 7th ed. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Stronge, J. H. (2002). Qualities of effective teachers. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Suskie, L. (2004). Assessing student learning: A common sense guide. San Francisco, CA: Anker Publishing Company, Inc.
- Stein, E. (2013). Comprehension lessons for RTI: Grades 3-5, assessment, intervention lessons, and management tips to reach and teach tier 2 students. NY: Scholastic.
- Tomlinson, C. A. & Moon, T. R. (2013). Assessment and student success in a differentiated classroom. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Tomilinson, C. A. (1995). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms*, (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2003). *Fulfilling the promise of the differentiated classroom: Strategies and tools for responsive teaching*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Tomlinson, C. A. & Imbeau, M. (2010). *Leading and managing a differentiated classroom*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Wiggins, G. & McTighe, J. (2005). Backwards by design (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Wong, H. K. & Wong, R. T. (1998). The first days of school. Mountain View, CA: Harry K. Wong Publications, Inc.
- Zemelman, S., Daniels, H., & Hyde, A. (2012). Best practice: Today's standards for teaching and learning in America's schools (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Students with Disabilities: If you have a documented disability and wish to discuss academic accommodations, please contact the Office of Disability Services at 581-6583.

## **Contract of Professional Responsibilities**

Teacher candidates are enrolled at EIU and are placed, evaluated, and graded by the course instructor.

Therefore, as a teacher candidate enrolled in (C.O.R.E. 3), I (\_\_\_\_\_\_) will:

# **Field Experience Expectations**:

- Arrive before\_\_\_\_\_ and stay until \_\_\_\_\_\_ every day (Monday through Friday when school is in session) during field experiences and will complete a minimum of \_\_\_\_\_\_ clock hours
- Dress professionally and follow the school's dress code
- Carefully plan and implement lessons to encourage student engagement and learning
- Protect student privacy
- Demonstrate positive dispositions toward the students, faculty, staff and community/school environment (http://www.eiu.edu/clinical/dispositions.php)
- Follow all guidelines and professional requirements as stated in the syllabus including LiveText submissions
- Turn off cell phones and other electronic devices while on school grounds
- In the case of absence or emergency, notify the cooperating teacher and the course instructor of my absence prior to the start of the field experience that day. Course instructors must be provided written documentation within 24 hours. Any hours missed must be rescheduled at a time that does not conflict with EIU classes and is approved by the cooperating teacher.
- Understand that, as stated in the syllabus, a positive referral from the field experience cooperating teacher is needed along with my instructor's referral to continue in the teacher education program.

I understand that in order to be placed or continue in field experiences, I must maintain these professional responsibilities in ALL C.O.R.E. classes:

- Attend and actively participate
- Exhibit positive dispositions
- Maintain no less than a "C" average

NOTE: I understand that scheduling meetings (e.g., with my student teaching coordinator) and/or scheduling appointments (e.g., registering to take a content test) during any class meeting times reflects negatively on my commitment to teacher education and does not represent the dispositions necessary for success in the program. Therefore, I agree to not allow other commitments to interfere with my regular class attendance.

I understand that all dates for assignments are stated in the syllabus and if professional requirements have been completed in less than a satisfactory manner, no more than a "D" may be earned in the class regardless of the number of points earned.