Eastern Illinois University

Bachelor of Science: Sport Management

Assessment Report Fall 2020

Submitted By: Kristin Brown, Ph.D.

The undergraduate sport management program continues to stress the importance of assessment and the undergraduate learning goals within our sport management program.

However, we would like to move towards a more program specific approach in the near future.

The recent struggle with COVID-19, along with numerous adjuncts, and lack of full-time faculty in our program have made it difficult to move forward in assessment as well as other areas.

These struggles have made it difficult to collect data where necessary, so our program hopes to improve in the near future.

Year 2

Non-Accredited Programs Only

Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for Academic Programs

Please list all of the student learning outcomes for your program as articulated in the assessment plan.

- 1. SM students will demonstrate the ability to write effectively
- 2. SM students will demonstrate the ability to speak (present) effectively to a group
- 3. SM students will demonstrate responsible citizenship competencies
- 4. SM students will effectively demonstrate and apply essential management competencies in a practical, internship setting for a sports/fitness organization.

Overview of Measures/Instruments

SLO(s) Note: Measures	ULG*	Measures/Instruments Please include a clear description of the instrument including when and where it is	How is the information Used? (include target score(s), results, and report if target(s) were met/not met/partially met for each instrument)
might be used for more than 1 SLO		administered	were medine medipartially methor each moraliterity
1.	W	Writing skills were assessed in KSR 4327- Ethical Persuasive Essay Using EIU EWP Rubric (Content, Organization, Style, Mechanics) -	27 students were assessed during the evaluation period. Results: Content= 3.5 average Organization= 3.81 average Style= 3.5 average Mechanics= 3.2 average Students would collectively achieve a mean score of 3.0 out of 4.0 on each of the 4 components on each the EIU EWP Rubric *The targets were met for EWP writing rubric during this period
2.	S	Oral skills were assessed in KSR 4328 during the policy presentation using the EIU Oral & Speaking Rubric: Organization, Language, Material, Analysis, Nonverbal delivery, verbal delivery	11 Students were assessed during the time period. Results Organization= 3.9 Language = 4 Material = 3.45 Analysis = 3.36 Nonverbal delivery = 3.64 Verbal delivery = 3.81 Students would collectively achieve a mean score of 3.0 out of 4.0 on each of the 6 components on the EIU Oral & Speaking Rubric *Targets were met during this period.

SLO(s)	ULG*	Measures/Instruments	How is the information Used?
		Please include a clear description of the	(include target score(s), results, and report if target(s)
Note: Measures		instrument including when and where it is	were met/not met/partially met for each instrument)
might be used for		administered	
more than 1 SLO			
			1600 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3.	R	Responsible citizenship	16 Students were assessed during the evaluation
		competencies were assessed in KSS	period. All students will demonstrate 'excellence' in
,		4328 (Governance) through	responsible citizenship competencies through a 90%
		participation in an Olympic bidding process group activity. The activity	or higher combined performance evaluation on the
		required student groups to research	Olympic Games Project.
		and create a persuasive presentation	Orympic Games Project.
	3	on why a actual bidding city would	$16/16$ students $\geq 90\%$
		be ideal to host a future Olympic	10/10 000000 _ /0/0
		Games because of successful	Average score of 45.88/50
		integration of 'responsible	5
		citizenship' characteristics into their	*Targets were met or exceeded during this time
		bid proposal such as 'sustainability,	period
		environmental impact, urban	
		regeneration, and event legacy'.	
		* See 'KSS 4328' Attachment for	
		Rubric used to assess student	
		performance on the Olympic Project.	
4.		SM students will effectively	Individually, students will achieve a mean score
		demonstrate and apply essential	of
		management competencies in a	4.5 Or higher (90%+ = 'excellence') on the 5 measured management competencies.
		practical, internship setting for a sports/fitness organization.	measured management competencies.
		Assessment of five (5)	Collectively, SM students will achieve a group mean
		management competencies	score of 4.5 out of 5.0 (90%+= 'excellence') or
		by the internship site	higher on each of the 5 measured management
		coordinator using a Likert-	competencies.
		scale	•
		(5 to 1) evaluation tool:	
		D C : 11 1 :	65 SM students completed internship
		- Professional behavior - Interpersonal skills	requirements and were assessed during the
		- Problem-solving skills	evaluation period.
		- Written communication	
		- Verbal communication	Individual assessment:
			* 50 of 65 students (00 20/) ashiound a man
			* 58 of 65 students (89.2%) achieved a mean score of 4.2 or higher on the 5 measured
			management competencies.
			management competencies.
			Collective assessment:
			* Group means for each measured management
			competency variable: (n=65)

SLO(s)	ULG*	Measures/Instruments	How is the information Used?
Mata. Magaziras		Please include a clear description of the	(include target score(s), results, and report if target(s)
Note: Measures		instrument including when and where it is	were met/not met/partially met for each instrument)
might be used for		administered	
more than 1 SLO			
			- Professional behavior (4.6) - Interpersonal skills (4.75) - Problem-solving (4.5) - Written comm. skills (5) - Verbal comm. skills (4.55)
			*Target was partially met. The mean score of 4.5 was only met in 62% of the students during this time period on the 5 measured management competencies. 90% +=excellence. However, 89%
			did reach ≥4.2 out of 5. The group means were met on 4.5 out of 5 on the measured management
			competencies.

^{*}Please reference any University Learning Goal(s) (ULG) that this SLO, if any, may address or assess. C=Critical Thinking, W=Writing & Critical Reading; S=Speaking and Listening; Q=Quantitative reasoning; R=Responsible Citizenship; NA=Not Applicable

Improvements and Changes Based on Assessment

1. Provide a short summary (1-2 paragraphs or bullets) of any curricular actions (revisions, additions, and so on) that were approved over the past two years as a result of reflecting on the student learning outcomes data. Are there any additional future changes, revisions, or interventions proposed or still pending?

One addition that the sport management faculty may include is the introduction of the global citizenship rubric that was found on the EIU website. This may allow for more consistency within the Governance in Sport course during the Olympic Project.

In the spring of 2021, we hope to meet as a team, both adjunct and full-time faculty to discuss where we need to move from here. We recognize as a program that we have a lot of gaps in our assessment data, however, the only thing we can do is move forward. I recently met with many of our adjuncts and our unit B faculty to discuss where we could improve for the future. Dr. Kattenbraker has also secured a faculty representative in each area to organize the effort of refining our assessment report in the future. Dr. Gard has agreed to serve as Sport Management's faculty representative to assist in streamlining the assessment process.

2. Please provide a brief description or bulleted list of any improvements (or declines) observed/measured in student learning. Be sure to mention any intervention made that has not yet resulted in student improvement (if applicable).

One decline in the sport management assessment was found in the individual assessment of the student's internship. The individual assessment had decreased overall compared to the previous report; however, the scores were still above 80%. There was not one specific sport management competency during the internship that students struggled with, it varied with each individual student. Hopefully as a program, we can provide our students the opportunity to be well rounded in all five areas so they are well-prepared as they enter internship.

The largest decline is the absence of the data, but we will continue to work on this. However, the small amount of data that the program does have is promising regarding our student population. I also plan to increase our targets to 3.2 on a 4.0 scale.

3. Using the form below, please document annual faculty and committee engagement with the assessment process (such as the review of outcomes data, revisions/updates to assessment plan, and reaffirmation of SLOs).

History of Annual Review			
Date of Annual Review	Individuals/Groups who Reviewed Plan	Results of the Review (i.e., reference proposed changes from #1 above, revised SLOs, etc)	
10/6/2020	Kristin Brown, Sport Management		

Dean Review & Feedback		
Dean or designee	Date	-

Eastern Illinois University Department of Kinesiology, Sport, and Recreation KSR 4328

Group Evaluation: Olympic Project

Group members:

Power point presentation		 _(5 points possible)
-Easy to read -Slides were appealing -No mistakes		
Introduction to the City -May include history -Population -Native information		 _ (10 points possible)
Motto, Mission/Vision, Goals		_ (10 points possible)
Olympic themes -Must have at least 5		 _ (10 points possible)
Legacy on the city & Economic impactWhat will the Olympics do for the city? -How will it affect the individuals who live there?		 _ (5 points possible)
Overall presentation -addressed each part of Olympics -Brought part of the Olympic Spirit to the presentation -thorough coverage of material -teamwork		_ (10 points possible)
	Total:	_ (50 points possible)

Overall Comments: